

APPENDIX 1a - EAST READING PERMIT PARKING SCHEME AREA 2

Support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order

Street/Summary	Objections/support/comments received.
	<p>Summary of responses: Objections - 156, Support - 27, Comment - 18, Mixed Response - 2.</p>
1. Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
2. Adelaide Road, objection	I do not want parking permit on our street (Adelaide Rd). I'm happy for other streets to have permits as long as we have the right to refuse permits to this area.
3. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>I live at [REDACTED] Adelaide Road, RG6 1PE. Our household has one car which my partner uses to commute to work in [REDACTED]. I cycle to work in [REDACTED], except for one day every month when I hire a car to travel to work in [REDACTED]. We have friends and family visiting most weekends, normally 1 visiting car for the weekend.</p> <p>I do not support the proposed scheme and my preference remains that no scheme is introduced.</p> <p>I have substantial concerns with the scheme proposed, set out below:</p> <p>1. Visitor parking</p> <p>The scheme proposes 2 books of 20 half days visitor permits, which the option to buy an additional 5 books at £22. This is insufficient and too expensive. Buying the additional books would cost £110 and with the 2 free books provide visitor parking for 70 days. This does not provide enough parking cover. Most weekends we have family or friends visiting this would require a minimum of 100 days of parking (1 car every weekend).</p> <p>2. No provision for hire cars</p> <p>As indicated earlier, I normally cycle to work and only hire a car when needed. I am sure this is the behaviour the council would like to incentivise as it reduces the overall numbers of cars that require parking. The current scheme has no provision for hire cars. Currently hire cars can be dropped off and keys posted through the letterbox. This would not be possible with the scheme as currently designed. If this wasn't possible I would instead consider buying a second car to retain the flexibility I have at the moment. This is exactly the opposite of what I would have thought the council would have intended to incentivise as it increases the overall demand for parking.</p> <p>3. Tradesman, carers, health providers and cleaners</p> <p>It is not clear how tradesman, cleaners and carers will be catered for. If a separate application is required this places an additional administrate burden on the council and residents. This burden (and any additional costs) will disproportionately disadvantage the most vulnerable residents who are more likely to require these services.</p> <p>4. Cost</p>

	<p>With two cars and five additional visitor books (1 visitor parking for 70 days in every year) will cost £260. I have strong concerns whether this is affordable, and whether these additional costs will fully cover the costs of policing the scheme. Can the council please confirm the financial forecasts for the scheme and how it will be funded?</p> <p>5. Strong differences of opinion in Area 1 and Area 2.</p> <p>Previous consultations have demonstrated that a majority in Area 1 had a preference for a parking permit scheme. However a majority in Area 2 did not support a permit scheme. I think these differences of opinion should be respected with at the very least a staged implementation approach.</p>
4. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>I am entirely against permits in the whole area. It is a shame that it has come to what it has. People will not park round here for the hospital it's too far.</p> <p>I would rather there be no permits in any of the area. Having some of it with permits and some not will probably make it worse in the non permit areas, however if that option does go ahead it is good that we would have the opportunity to change at at a later date and that we are not just having permits forced on us straight away.</p>
5. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>WE OBJECT in the strongest terms with the introduction of parking permits at Adelaide Road and the surrounding areas. There is no issue with parking.</p>
6. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>I am against and I would prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME and object to the scheme 2 proposal.</p>
7. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>I am against and I would prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME and object to the scheme 2 proposal.</p>
8. Adelaide Road, objection	<p>Through party information through my door it was our belief that RBC decided to leave ADELAIDE & surround alone until another area on the other side of Wokingham Rd receive there permit. Then we can decide? We have said all along we do not want this RBC money making system. We are pensioners with cars & visitors & we will struggle a lot to afford parking permits. We are Against! Thank you very much..</p>
9. Adelaide Road, support	<p>We support residents parking in Adelaide Road</p>
10. Amherst Road, comment	<p>I am in Amherst Road . I am for the plan in principle. But would suggest a deferment to see how the areas parking around Amherst road affect the parking before implementation. So I would like to defer.</p>
11. Amherst Road, objection	<p>I agree there is an issue with parking on my street Amherst Rd but I don't feel parking permits (at a significant cost to residents) is the answer</p> <p>The main issue with parking is students leaving there car parked for the entire week from Sunday night to Friday while at University or people too cheap to pay for parking in Reading town centre so drop their cars off on our streets on the outskirts and bus it to town. Why should we as residents have to pay a significant cost on top of our council tax to prevent this. The council should be looking at the reasons people park where they don't live and doing something about it (parking for students or reducing the price of parking in town centre) rather than making us residents pay for parking permits.</p> <p>I am not in favour of the parking scheme for a few reasons</p>

	<p>1. Mainly based on the cost element (and this will keep rising every year which is my main issue with the cost) 2. Not patrolled at the times when most needed so therefore pointless and waste of residents money (evenings 4.30 - 7.30pm and Sundays) I have only ever seen the traffic warden out patrolling 9.30 - 3pm weekdays or Sat mornings. 3. The scheme does not offer parking permits to residents with commercial type vehicles - what do you propose that someone that needs a van for work and this is also their only vehicle does with their vehicle in the evenings???? They should be able to park it on their own street that they pay council tax for!! Ludicrous rule!!!!</p> <p>At the moment I'd prefer to see the scheme introduced on the roads that are worse, that voted in favour of it and actually want it and wait and see if cars are displaced further out of Reading onto neighbouring roads such as my own and if the parking issue becomes a major problem then where the only resort is to have a parking scheme then I would like to be added to the scheme in the 2nd phase.</p> <p>But I think adding us all to the scheme initially is overkill and a money making exercise by the council and I'm not in favour of it - give the scheme to those that wanted it and wait to see the impact and then come back to the issue of adding other roads</p>
12. Amherst Road, objection	<p>Please can I register my deep concern about the current parking proposals.</p> <p>I am the [REDACTED] at the local Baptist Church in Amherst Road.</p> <p>We find the proposal of 'only resident parking' a big barrier to the work and provision of our church, Anderson Baptist Church.</p> <p>We provide for the community in numerous ways and need to make sure that our attendees can park their cars when needed.</p> <p>We have services on a Sunday and many community activities during the week in including Beaver, Cub and Scout groups, Toddlers, Foodbank, Refuge support, Youth groups, Nepali groups, language groups, discussion groups alongside the worship services and organisational meetings which are involved in running a vibrant church. etc.</p> <p>We also let our halls out for social functions and parties for local residents when needed.</p> <p>For occasions such as weddings and funerals people need to be able to access the church by car.</p> <p>There is a strong need for us to be able to park in the area.</p> <p>We have been part of the community for over 120 years and feel that this move will seriously inhibit our work.</p> <p>Please can you give some serious consideration to this matter and to what special permission could be afforded to us and then let us know how to proceed.</p> <p>We seek to support our local community and need the Council's help and understanding in doing this.</p>
13. Amherst Road, objection	<p>Anderson Baptist Church, Amherst Road.</p> <p>I have objections to the scheme as published since it makes no allowance for the particular use of the premises of Anderson Baptist Church. My response to the original proposals, which I submitted to you and acknowledged by you re: ANON-HNKK-7PWH-Y, seem to have been totally ignored.</p>

	<p>I stressed the need for understanding our need for the ability to park for access to the premises for normal Church Services on a Sunday and during the week, for Scouting activities ie Beavers, Cubs and Scouts, Toddler group and other Church usage such as smaller groups often in the week as well as weekends, committee meetings etc, all necessary in the life of a busy Church serving the community around us. In addition, we need to accommodate parking for funerals - including the hearse! , and for weddings and those who would attend.</p> <p>Permit Parking only as proposed for Amherst Road would cause extreme difficulty in the normal life of the Church and its many activities. Even a 2- hour parking arrangement would be insufficient for much of its use.</p> <p>The parking restrictions proposed for Amherst Road and the general area will make the usage of the premises largely impractical and threatens the on-going existence of the Church and its organisation and its people.</p> <p>We ask for special consideration for our sessional needs as we serve the wider community which the Church has done for over 120 years. We recognise the need for controlled parking for the residents in the road, but we need help to be able to continue to organise and run the normal activities of the premises.</p>
14. Amherst Road, objection	<p>As a member of Anderson Baptist Church, I am writing to ask the Council to reconsider the proposal to introduce permit parking to this area of the Borough.</p> <p>Easy access to our Church is very important to those members who come from a distance. Equally important is our service to the local community through delivery of equipment and food e.g. the local food bank at the church. Wedding and funeral access is also vital.</p>
15. Amherst Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Amherst Road.</p> <p>I prefer to have a no residents parking scheme, and object to the scheme 2 proposal.</p> <p>My comments regarding the proposal of the scheme on Amherst road are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Everyone seems to manage with the parking at the moment and I have never witnessed any disagreements over spaces. -Furthermore, there are several student houses on this road and I don't think it fair that students should have to incur the extra cost for a parking permit, especially when the road is a significant distance from the town centre. -Drawing on this, the road is not close enough to the town centre for people to use it for anything other than being a resident or visiting a resident. The nearby shops have their own parking facilities should they be required. -Insisting upon a parking permit will mean that guests visiting residents of Amherst will have to park on a different road, adding unnecessary congestion to that road. This could be potentially dangerous as the surrounding roads are used more regularly as shortcuts. -Increased congestion on surrounding roads is dangerous because there are two Primary schools close by and increasing congestion on these roads (that have no pedestrian crossings) will increase the likelihood of an accident significantly, putting children's lives at risk.
16. Amherst Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] and I live along Amherst Road. I do not feel the need to introduce a resident's permit parking scheme in area 2 of the proposal, but I am willing to see how it goes in area 1 first.</p>
17. Amherst Road, support	<p>I support the scheme for a parking permit on Amherst Road.</p> <p>I'm finding extremely annoying not being able to park on my road in the evenings, and my mother who is in her 70s cannot find space for her car too. It annoys me even more when I see people park on my road and walk off to get the bus to town to go to work.</p> <p>This is affecting my lifestyle as some days I do not go to the gym or go out to see friends as I know I will have trouble</p>

	<p>finding a parking space when I get back.</p> <p>Also the amount of 7 seater taxi's that park on my road is ridiculous as well as business vans that do not belong on the road.</p>
18. Amherst Road, support	<p>I am very much looking forward to the permit parking, hopefully, less people will have cars, and so you will actually be able to park in the street that you live in.</p> <p>I know, that people from Anderson Baptist Church don't want it, but people who actually live here do, bring it on, but make it free, no paying for the scheme, thanks.</p>
19. Amherst Road, support	<p>My name is [REDACTED] of Amherst Road.</p> <p>1. I support the scheme 2 proposal for Amherst Road.</p> <p>2. My comments on the scheme 2 proposal for Amherst Road are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It's affecting my lifestyle as I do not want to move my car after 5pm as it's hard to get parking. - There are often cars that do not belong to the road or area parked on the road. - Parents park on the road during school runs and leave their cars there and walk to either St. Peter's or Alfred Sutton's. Some get the bus to work after and leave their cars there. - random business cars/taxis take up space
20. Amherst Road/Auckland Road, objection	<p>I am writing to raise objections to the proposed changes in parking arrangements on Amherst Road, Auckland Road. Alfred Sutton Primary School on Wokingham Road has no parking arrangements & all parents are forced to park in the surrounding areas. If the proposed changes are introduced, parents will have no place to park safely during the school runs.</p> <p>The larger issue in this area is lack of parking enforcement and penalties for rule-breakers. People are parking on single yellow, double yellow lines where parking is not allowed. Also, people are parking in the middle of the Wokingham Road (on the chevrons opposite Earley Café & Gourmet Burger Co), which is exceptionally dangerous. If additional parking restrictions are introduced on the nearby streets, parking situation will further worsen risking children's safety during school run times.</p> <p>Whilst I raise concerns on the proposed changes, I suggest the below measures to address the parking issues, while addressing concerns of residents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The parking should be available full time for Resident permit holders. For non-residents, restrict the free parking to max 30min & no return within 2hr. This will ensure that the parking is not misused and parents still have an option to park during school runs. 2. Issue penalty tickets for people violating the existing parking restrictions in place in and around the area.
21. Auckland Road, objection	<p>I live on Auckland Road and currently we do not have any non resident parking issues. It is congested at night which presumably means residents are using the roads as parking. A residents only parking scheme will only cut down on cars if there are multiple occupancy residents with numerous vehicles. That's not the majority of houses here. I'd rather NOT pay to park on the road where I live if it is solving a problem I don't even have. I especially don't want to have to pay for visitors to park. That's ridiculous when the roads are half empty in the daytimes. It should be free for non residents</p>

	during the day, scheme or no scheme. I want to wait and see what happens after Area 1 is implemented, since I live on Auckland Road. If we suddenly get inundated with cars after nearby roads have the scheme, I'll reconsider.
22. Auckland Road, objection	I object to parking permits in general as it limits support to young parents and older people by making them pay to receive support from friends and family as well as causing reduced parking spaces via the introduction of bays, making the parking situation worse. I do not want parking permits to be introduced at all
23. Auckland Road, objection	[REDACTED] ,Auckland Road I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME I don't think it will help at all the parking in the roads around here
24. Auckland Road, objection	I live in Auckland Road with a [REDACTED]. This entrance is used constantly by our two cars to access our double garage. Permit parking will only move traffic from the surrounding roads onto Jubilee Road, probably blocking our entrance/exit. With the close proximity of the University many more cars are using the surrounding roads for their vehicles, sometimes multiple vehicles per household. How is permit parking going to solve this problem? I do not support this scheme in any way. This will not solve the problem as many more vehicles will have a knock-on effect to roads where there is no permit parking.
25. Auckland Road, objection	i am a resident within scheme 2 area (Auckland Road). I object to the proposal to make the area permit parking and would like to defer our scheme 2 until such time as scheme 1 has been in place as at present i have no issues getting parking on my road. My household has 2 cars and therefore introduction of a parking scheme will mean a significant cost just to park on my road.
26. Auckland Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Auckland Road. I object to the Scheme 2 proposal and would like to defer it to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead.
27. Auckland Road, objection	I want to object to Resident parking schemes totally and why there are no paper forms and formal consultation is only communicated via lamp post.i totally disagree with resident parking schemes
28. Auckland Road, objection	I object to the proposal of parking permits for Auckland Road, Reading and would like to defer the parking scheme and see what happens if the other streets go ahead. My objections are that this will not make Auckland Road safer to use nor improve current parking. In Auckland Road there are a number of properties that have off road parking / garages and dropped kerbs or enough frontage to their properties to be used as off road parking. Sadly this can never be used, as road users park vehicles in front of driveways or too close to them to be used. If the resident parking scheme permits are introduced there should be measures in place for residents with access to off road parking to have 24/7 access to their off road parking and for Auckland Road to be made safer to use. The proposed plans have costly visitor parking which need to be addressed as this will impact the community as a whole. For some having visitors is a life line even if its just to drop shopping off, help with child care etc. The proposed plan for

	Auckland Road is NO visitors parking without a visitors permit, which are limited.
29. Auckland Road, objection	<p>I object to scheme 2 proposal for Auckland Road and would like to defer it to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead.</p> <p>I feel there is no need to change the current parking arrangements as they work and that the new scheme will not improve this or make Auckland Road safer to use.</p> <p>Visitors parking permits are limited and costly and need to be reviewed.</p>
30. Auckland Road, objection	I object to the unbounded cost of the charges for this scheme were the cost of permits can be increased rapidly by the council. I'm concerned about the ban on commercial vehicles prevent people from living here whilst working in trades. As there is not currently parking issue in street that would be help with introduction of this scheme and I would prefer it to be deferred..
31. Auckland Road, objection	Auckland Rd - I prefer not to have the scheme - to me it is just another tax. But it makes sense to deferr until later, as the council decided. I am withholding my name as I don't need to be contacted about this but I would like my vote to be counted. Thanking you..
32. Brighton Road, objection	<p>I do not wish to have a residents parking scheme, however if neighbouring roads have a scheme I would want a scheme to prevent my road taking the overflow.</p> <p>If we have a scheme I want there to be 2 hour visiting spaces. You have said our road is too narrow for this, but Garnet Hill in Reading sets a precedent that our road is not too narrow.</p>
33. Brighton Road, objection	<p>We live in Brighton Road.</p> <p>We think that Brighton Road should be available for short term parking for people using the shops or dropping children off for school.</p> <p>We also have at least 4 visitors per week who need parking space and this scheme will make this expensive.</p> <p>We think that the council has shown a lack in initiative in adapting govt guidelines to allow a more flexible parking scheme in Brighton Road and neighbouring roads.</p> <p>We would therefore like to reject the scheme in Brighton Road for now and wait and see what the impact of the scheme in area 1 is for area 2, with the possibility of implementing this unsatisfactory scheme in Brighton Road at a later date.</p>
34. Brighton Road, objection	<p>I live on Brighton Road.</p> <p>I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME, and object to the scene 2 proposal.</p> <p>My reasons for this are that I do not feel it will in any way address the parking issues currently in existence but will merely create alternative parking issues along with an associated financial burden.</p>
35. Brighton Road, objection	I live on Brighton Road. I prefer to have NO residence parking scheme, and object to the scheme 2 proposal.
36. Brighton Road, support	I want permit scheme Because mostly people blocked my driveway I can't get in and out
37. Brighton Road, support	I want permit scheme Because mostly people blocked my driveway I can't get in and out
38. Brighton Road,	As a resident of Brighton Road I fully Support the Parking Permit Scheme.

support	Please !!!! thanks
39. Brighton Road, support	As a resident in Brighton Road I feel both areas should be done together as displacement of vehicles from area 1 will cause havoc for area 2 residents. Parking in area 2 roads is as bad as area 1. Why don't council officials see for themselves just turn up at after 18.30 and try and park in our road. I do not want a repeat of the Hamilton Road fiasco. To confirm I am in favour of areas 1&2 being implemented at the same time.
40. Brighton Road, support	I support the implementation of area 1&2 at the same time.. I live in area 2 (Brighton Road)and the parking is dreadful throughout the day and at night. Anyone coming home from work struggles to get a parking space. I do not think that there is any point waiting to see what happens after area 1 is implemented as anyone with any sense can see that it is obvious that vehicles will displaced into area 2 and in the meantime we have to suffer the dire consequences while we await yet another decision on area 2. The main opposition to the scheme appears to be landlords who can see that permit parking may affect their ability to rent out their multiple dwelling properties to students in the future!
41. Clarendon Road, objection	I object to parking permits on the street of Clarendon road. I object to paying more to the council every month. I simply cannot afford this. Why is the council not considering painting lines on the road? This will ease up parking problems as there are always paces wasted by people not parking appropriately.
42. Clarendon Road, objection	I object to parking permits on the street of Clarendon road. I object to paying more to the council every month. I cannot afford this.
43. Clarendon Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of Clarendon Road. I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME, and object to the Scheme 2 proposal. I live in Clarendon Road and we have NO problems with non residents parking on a daily basis . As you are considering making our road a shared road YOU will be causing a problem for the residents by non residents parking on a daily basis. We have several residents who work shifts and return late at night or early mornings and sometimes are not able to park in our road but can normally find a space in one of the nearby roads, WILL THIS STILL BE POSSIBLE WITH PERMITS?? It seems to me that this Scheme IS causing a problem where no problems exists.
44. Palmer Park Avenue, support	Overall I am in favour of the proposed scheme and think that it is necessary particularly as Grange Avenue and Pitcroft Avenue are going to have a permit system. If the areas described in this consultation are not in a permit system then it will be inevitable that those not wanting/ are unable to get a permit for Grange/Pitcroft Avenues will park in these uncontrolled roads. On a specific point I live on the [REDACTED] so I would suggest that the parking zone for at least the Northern end of Wykeham Road is the same (14R) as Palmer Park Avenue. In this way I will be able to park my vehicle adjacent to my house access points.
45. Resident,	I support the proposal to 'try it and see', allowing experimental permit parking in Area 1 and seeing how many cars are

comment	displaced into Area 2.
46. Resident, comment	I want to wait and see what happens after Area 1 is implemented. In my opinion the parking in my area is working fine just now.
47. Resident, comment	I want to wait and see what happens after Area 1 is implemented.
48. Resident, comment	Sometimes difficult to park but I think I would like to see what happens when the first zone is in , thanks
49. Resident, comment	I am interested for Scheme 2 (Triangle) but before that we need to wait & watch the outcome of Scheme 1 post which we will make our choice later. Why there are no paper forms and Formal Consultation is only communicated via lamp-post?
50. Resident, comment	Object to scheme 1 and defer scheme 2.
51. Resident, comment	Object to scheme 1 and defer scheme 2.
52. Resident, comment	I want to wait and see after the other side (Hamilton Roads etc) get their parking restrictions and then decide..
53. Resident, comment	I wish to defer the implementation of Scheme 2 until the results and impact of implementing Scheme 1 are known..
54. Resident, objection	As a resident in area 2 I wish to wait and see what happens after area 1 is implemented. However my over feeling towards the scheme is that I do not support it. My main concern with this whole scheme is that it will isolate the residents and essentially penalise them for living on a permit parking road.
55. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances. You must either implement parking permits on both areas (1 and 2) at the same time or no implementation of parking permits at all. It is quite obvious that the parking congestion will worsen at Area 2 - no need to wait and see how many cars will be displaced into Area 2. I have lived in this area long enough to conclude that this proposal will worsen parking congestion at Area 2.
56. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
57. Resident, objection	I object to resident parking permit scheme totally. I am a resident in parking scheme 2.
58. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
59. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
60. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
61. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
62. Resident,	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.

objection	
63. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
64. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
65. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
66. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
67. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
68. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
69. Resident, objection	<p>I am in scheme 2 and object to parking permits. If the scheme is introduced I would want to defer scheme 2 until scheme 1 has been implemented and a further consultation has been carried out.</p> <p>This is just a stealth tax and not something that residents have asked for. Parking permits will make no difference, other than we will have to pay for something we don't pay for now. Permits will make no difference to the parking situation where I live as its only people that live here that park on the streets. It looks like the scheme will also mean that there could fewer spaces available meaning that residents will have to park further away from their homes than they do now.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the impact parking permits will have on the elderly and other vulnerable residents as the number of visitor permits does not equate to one visitor a week and some members of our community rely on visitors and carers.</p> <p>I am also concerned that once permits are introduced the cost will rise each year. Many families in this area are already struggling to make ends met as other household bills increase annually and this will just add to the financial pressures. You have already increased council tax this year and will almost certainly increase it again next year.</p> <p>I am also disappointed that the council feels that it is ok to just stick signs on lamposts rather than make contact with residents to advise them of the consultation. I work long hours as do many people in the street and at this time of year we are leaving and returning in the dark and are unable to even see the notices. Many residents will not be aware this consultation is even taking place but I suspect that it is probably your intention.</p>
70. Resident, objection	I am in Scheme 2and I object to the resident parking scheme completely. We never struggle to park so have no desire to enter into something that could cost us, relatives and family money! Some areas are worse than others but ours does not require this.
71. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
72. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.

73. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
74. Resident, objection	i am a resident in parking scheme 2 and i object to resident parking scheme totally.
75. Resident, objection	<p>I wholeheartedly object to this proposal for parking permits in the area proposed in Scheme 2. As residents, we have developed a mutual understanding in how to park with consideration to others, and I believe that to introduce parking permits in the area would simply force residents into paying an expensive service we have already resolved amongst ourselves. Costs of parking permits are already astronomical, let alone the stealth costs that will occur in order to take more money for something that is now required. So many residents rely on their cars to get to work, or to hospital appointments, or for paid-for care services to access their properties. I have doubts that the council would reimburse residents for the time and cost put into having to commute in other ways, when having access to their cars without having to restrict the cars for each property due to expensive parking permits massively benefits their quality of life.</p> <p>I also believe that, if the council chooses to disregard these comments made by residents to object to the parking permit scheme, that the decision affecting Scheme 2 should at the very least be deferred until 12 months of Scheme 1 being in place, as the council will therefore see the justification of resident objection in this area.</p>
76. Resident, objection	I object to the resident parking permit schemes totally.
77. Resident, objection	<p>I live in Scheme 2 (Triangle area).</p> <p>Why there is no paper forms and Formal Consultation is only communicated via lamp-post?</p> <p>I OBJECT to resident paring permit schemes totally!!!</p> <p>I OBJECT to , and at least want to Defer our Scheme 2 until such time as Scheme 1 has been in place. !!!</p>
78. Resident, objection	<p>I am in Scheme 2 (Triangle area).</p> <p>I OBJECT to resident paring permit schemes totally!!!</p> <p>I OBJECT to resident paring permit schemes totally!!!</p> <p>I OBJECT to resident paring permit schemes totally!!!</p>
79. Resident, objection	Scheme 2(triangle),I object to the resident parking scheme totally
80. Resident, objection	<p>I am in the area of Scheme 2 (triangle)</p> <p>I object to the resident parking Scheme Totally</p> <p>Why is there no paper forms and formal consultation is only by lamp post</p>
81. Resident, objection	I am in Scheme 2 (triangle). I object to, and would like to defer Scheme 2 until such time as scheme 1 has been in place . Then I will be able to make a decision as to whether I want parking permits or not.
82. Resident, objection	We OBJECT to resident parking permit schemes totally unless parking gets a lot worse once you have done scheme 1 My husband is a builder with a van and telling us he can't park outside our house where do you expect him to park. We

	have lived in this road for over 20 years and cope with the parking
83. Resident, objection	I live in scheme two and I want to defer the parking permits until scheme 1 has been implemented so we can see what happens
84. Resident, objection	I want to wait and see what happens after the implementation of Area 1. I believe there is no immediate benefit to parking permits in my area - especially when the cost is likely to increase year on year.
85. Resident, objection	<p>I live within Scheme 2 area and OBJECT to both resident parking permit schemes totally (scheme 1 & 2).</p> <p>I want to DEFER our SCHEME 2 until such time as Scheme 1 has been in place and we have sufficient evidence that it works.</p> <p>Reasons for objection are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Stealth taxes increasing Council Tax + parking permits + visitor parking + fines/penalties. * Current parking is free and residents make it work in the area. * Once accepted we will be tied to it long term even if it does not work. * Proposed designs reduce parking spaces offering less spaces than cars residents own. * Limited household and visitor permits, not even 1 visitor per week. Impact on elderly and / or vulnerable????? * Other Reading residents in areas where permits have been enforced have only seen disadvantages - no improvements or advantages. * Purely money driven by the council with no interest in residents or parking improvements. * Permit costs will continue to rise each year as seen nationwide. <p>Also - why are there no paper forms and the formal consultation is only communicated via lamp posts?????</p>
86. Resident, objection	<p>I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME and object to the Scheme 2 proposal</p> <p>Currently our parking is free and residents have made it work in the area.</p> <p>Once we accept a council scheme we will be tied to it long term</p> <p>Proposed designs REDUCE parking spaces and offer less parking spaces than cars our residents own.</p> <p>Limited household and visitor permits, not even 1 visitor per week, will impact on older people.</p> <p>This is a council money driven exercise not in the interests of residents or parking improvements..</p> <p>Permit costs will continue to rise each year as seen nationwide.</p>
87. Resident, objection	Scheme 2 "Triangle" I would like to say No to parking permits in this area
88. Resident, objection	Scheme 2. I object to parking permit schemes totally
89. Resident, objection	I object to the Scheme 2 proposal and would like to defer it to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead.
90. Resident, objection	<p>I am in scheme 2 (triangle)</p> <p>Parking permits are not necessary here. I object to them being introduced.</p>
91. Resident, objection	There is an issue with parking on my street but I don't feel parking permits (at a significant cost to residents) is the answer

	<p>I am not in favour of the parking scheme</p> <p>Mainly based on the cost element (and this will keep rising every year which is my main issue with the cost)</p> <p>The scheme does not offer parking permits to residents with commercial type vehicles - what do you propose that someone that needs a van for work and this is also their only vehicle does with their vehicle in the evenings???? They should be able to park it on their own street that they pay council tax for!! Ludicrous rule!!!!</p> <p>Give the scheme to those that wanted it and wait to see the impact and then come back to the issue of adding other roads at a later date but don't add us all to the scheme initially</p>
92. Resident, objection	<p>It's an utter nightmare trying to get parked in Area 2 at the best of times and having to deal with an over flow from Area 1 as an experiment is ridiculous. I can't park my car after eight at night as it is. You really need to re-think this.</p> <p>This scheme will make parking here a lot worse and is just an attempt to strong-arm residents into supporting your plans for parking permits.</p>
93. Resident, objection	<p>I strongly object to the introduction of residents parking permits in Scheme 2. This is nothing more than a stealth tax which will not benefit residents at all, as we do not have a parking issue within the area covered by Scheme 2. This would in fact reduce available space and introduce problems, rather than solving problems which don't exist!</p> <p>I understand there is a proposal to defer the introduction of the scheme to my area. If my desire to object completely to the scheme is ignored and the council pushes ahead, I am therefore also requesting that Scheme 2 implementation be deferred until Scheme 1 has been implemented and the impact of the scheme can be assessed.</p> <p>I would also like to express my concerns that this scheme was only communicated to residents via signs on lampposts and not in a more pro-active manner. If it weren't for active local residents and our local Green councillors, we would have had no idea this scheme was coming or that we had the opportunity to object.</p>
94. Resident, objection	<p>I am in scheme 2 (Triangle)</p> <p>I Object to these proposals as the vast majority of residents do not want them, and never have done. It is an ill thought out scheme, which will only befit the council.</p>
95. Resident, objection	<p>I am living in Scheme 2 area.</p> <p>I do not want parking permits to be introduced, as do very few of my neighbours.</p> <p>I therefore OBJECT to the proposals.</p>
96. Resident, objection	<p>After receiving the latest developments to roll out the permit parking in 2 phases.</p> <p>I would like to OBJECT to ALL permit parking schemes, if it is going to be done in 2 phases, common sense needs to prevail here! if you roll out phase 1 and do a wait and see what happens, of course cars will then all try and park in phase 2 area, which will make it even worse for residents to park in their own road!! where else do you think people will park.</p>

	<p>If it is to be rolled out then realistically it needs be both phases at the same time.</p> <p>Parking in our area has got worse over the years, due to multi let properties, and tenants tend to have multiple cars! Reading University also need to play their part and stop students bringing their cars, when they just sit there for a whole term! It has to be a one done roll out or nothing as it will not resolve the over crowding within East Reading.</p> <p>Please accept this as an objection to permit parking in East Reading. please provide me with minutes and outcome.</p>
97. Resident, objection	I object to, and want to defer to scheme 2 until such a time as scheme 1 has been in place
98. Resident, objection	I am in Scheme 2 and I object to resident parking permit schemes totally.
99. Resident, objection	<p>I prefer to have no residents parking scheme and object to the scheme 2 proposal.</p> <p>At my residence we have two vehicles which are both needed for two different jobs. I pay road tax, mot and insurance. The cost of parking 2 cars would be £150. I feel that this is extremely expensive and do not agree with having to pay on the road to park outside my house. I object to this scheme.</p>
100. Resident, objection	<p>I live within Scheme 2 (Triangle). I would like to make clear that I OBJECT to resident parking schemes for both the planned Scheme 1 AND Scheme 2.</p> <p>If Scheme 1 is going ahead anyway, I still OBJECT to the introduction of Scheme 2.</p> <p>At the very least, I would like to see DEFERRED Scheme 2.</p> <p>I never experienced a problem with parking in the Scheme 2 area and I can only see how the introduction of a resident parking permit scheme here is going to make residents' lives more difficult: a) The scheme will entail an effective reduction in parking space when as it is, there is just about enough parking space for everyone. b) Why does everywhere where people need to leave their cars now charge for the space - even at home?! As if the cost of living wasn't high enough round here already! c) The need to keep on top of ordering enough and/or paying for enough visitors' parking and making sure to keep within time limits when anybody dares to visit our houses using a car is an utter life-energy-robbing nuisance. And in terms of equality, what about elderly people who need regular visiting? Are they or their relatives meant to have to worry about parking permits for their carers as well?</p>
101. Resident, objection	<p>I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME and object to the scheme 2 proposal.</p> <p>At my residence we have two vehicles which are both needed for two different jobs. I pay road tax, mot and insurance and as a single mum with 3 children the cost of parking 2 cars would be £150. I feel that this is extremely expensive and do not agree with having to pay on the road to park outside my house. I object to this scheme</p>
102. Resident, objection	I would prefer the proposal not to go forward at all. However, if it is to go ahead I would prefer to see the first stage go live before moving ahead with the Wokingham Rd/Wykeham Rd/St Peter's Rd area. I do not believe there will be much overflow from the other side of the Wokingham Rd and the proposed also reduces the parking in area 2.
103. Resident, objection	I would prefer the proposal not to go forward at all. However, if it is to go ahead I would prefer to see the first stage go live before moving ahead with the Wokingham Rd/Wykeham Rd/St Peter's Rd area. I do not believe there will be much

	overflow from the other side of the Wokingham Rd and the proposed also reduces the parking in area 2.
104. Resident, objection	Object to resident Parking permit in scheme 2 Triangle
105. Resident, objection	<p>I wish to object to the proposal for the SCHEME 2 implementation. This is a totally unfounded and ill-informed idea, which suggests that the council, and specifically the councillors who claim to represent the scheme 2 area, are unfamiliar with this particular locale. This implies that we are of no importance or interest. We are a close community who make accommodation for one another. The financial implication to us is considerable. Our rents have risen, and our council tax has been increased exponentially. There is absolutely no displacement parking whatsoever (when I leave for work, for example, my particular street is almost empty): in short, we are being asked to PAY for something we currently enjoy gratis, WITH NO GUARANTEE OF A PARKING SPACE! It is bizarre that a Labour council should consider such a thing, and I have to say that if this goes ahead, you have lost my vote.</p> <p>I am bound to conclude that this is a cynical money-making scheme directed towards those who can least afford it. It is NOT in the interests of the residents, and certainly nothing to do with parking "improvements". As far as we are concerned there is no improvement to be had: we are a close community and we make it work.</p> <p>Finally, I would like to state my unhappiness at the Formal Consultation being announced via lamp-post signs! It beggars belief that in 2018 the wherewithal could not be found to contact the residents via delivered communication. Again, it suggests a complete disregard for this community: we are the little people, who don't even deserve the simple courtesy of a letter. This SCHEME 2 should be shelved: it will cause great unhappiness and great hardship to those who can least afford it.</p>
106. Resident, objection	NO TO PARKING PERMITS. NOT NEEDED.
107. Resident, objection	Scheme 2 (triangle) - Object to resident parking permit scheme - will Wait & See. Permit will not guarantee a space near my home. Plus being a pensioner it's another annual bill i can ill afford.
108. Resident, objection	I object to, and want to defer our Scheme 2 until such a time as Scheme 1 has been in place. At the moment we don't have a parking problem on our road. The lack of parking permit is one of the reasons why we settled in this area. The current plans would result in 1) more costs to us and 2) a reduced number of parking spaces due to planned parking bays.
109. Resident, objection	I don't support in scheme 2.
110. Resident, objection	I don't support in scheme 2(triangle).
111. Resident, objection	I don't support scheme 2.
112. Resident, objection	I don't support in scheme 2(triangle).
113. Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
114. Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.

115.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
116.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
117.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
118.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
119.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
120.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
121.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
122.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
123.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
124.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
125.	Resident, objection	Object and defer scheme 2.
126.	Resident, objection	Yet another short response to timeframe. Council has created the parking problem. We have been informed that a majority in the triangle do not want permits and that the Area can be divided in two and we can decide later. We do not support the parking scheme in our area..
127.	Resident, objection	I do not support scheme 2.
128.	Resident, objection	I wish to object/ request that scheme 2 is deferred pending the outcome of scheme 1. I live in scheme 2 area..
129.	Resident, objection	No for the parking restrictions in wykeham rd..
130.	Resident, objection	Again registering my objection to the parking permit proposals. While I am not in favour of the plans, I am prepared to accept the compromise of deferring the plans until such time as we see what happens with scheme 1, which has been my position since the beginning..
131.	Resident, objection	No problem for car nopay (If you are against it) I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME, and object to the Scheme 2 proposal. OR 1. (If you want it deferred) I object to the Scheme 2 proposal and would like to defer it to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead.
132.	Resident, support	I support the proposal, with concerns that there will be much worse parking in Area 2 once there are restrictions in Area 1.
133.	St Peter's	Would rather not have the scheme but do not want too many displaced cars either. Option 3 for us

Road, comment	
134. St Peter's Road, comment	<p>Parking needs to be regulated to ensure safety of pedestrians, car users, cyclists ect. The top of St Peters Road is a "Reading Safe Cycle Zone" but is extremely dangerous for the 12 year olds who cycle to and from school. Vehicles including emergency vehicles are delayed in rush hour. Better designed and regulated parking zones should help both these points. Permit parking should also have an impact on the number of HMOs in this already saturated high density rental area . I believe some of the complaints for the parking zone are not locals but landlords who own property in the area and people parking to drop their children off at the adjacent Wokingham School (Earley St Peters). I do not want my street turning into a free car park for all and sundry as the rest of the area is permitted. Please put the same parking enforcements on St Peter's Road as the rest of East Reading.</p>
135. St Peter's Road, comment	<p>I'm not opposed to the scheme in St Peter's road. Personally I would have preferred the DYL on the other side, as cars often park opposite our drive and can make it difficult for us to reverse into our drive,, I'd expect more cars to be parked along our road as other roads are filled up. I guess either side will have that issue.</p> <p>I would have liked not to have given the option of 2 hours parking so near to the ends of St Peter's as a means of reducing parking by parents driving children to school.</p> <p>I hope that this scheme will greatly improve the parking enforcement, particularly near the ends of St. Peter's road at school start and finish times. The parking on the no loading zone is appalling and dangerous, but still continues</p>
136. St Peter's Road, comment	<p>I live at [REDACTED] St Peter's Road, RG6 1PG, and would just like to ask a few questions about the new parking scheme.</p> <p>I have been looking at the drawing provided on the website and it appears the parking bays do not break for curb drops to driveways. I'm assuming this wont be the case, but could it be confirmed? Otherwise access to driveways could be blocked by parked cars obviously.</p> <p>I understand the scheme might be introduced in 2 phases, I cant see the details of this on the website but I am a bit concerned this will put excessive pressure on the roads not initially introduced under the parking scheme for a period of time.</p> <p>I am also concerned a bit about the 2 hour parking restriction in St Peter's Road. This will encourage people to park there and ignore the restriction as understandably your ability to police this is limited. I think its better the whole area is permit holders only but that's just my opinion.</p>
137. St Peter's Road, comment	<p>I live at [REDACTED] St Peter's Road, RG6 1PG, and would just like to ask a few questions about the new parking scheme.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · I have been looking at the drawing provided on the website and it appears the parking bays do not break for curb drops to driveways. I'm assuming this wont be the case, but could it be confirmed? Otherwise access to driveways could be blocked by parked cars obviously. · I understand the scheme might be introduced in 2 phases, I cant see the details of this on the website but I am a bit concerned this will put excessive pressure on the roads not initially introduced under the parking scheme for a period of time. · I am also concerned a bit about the 2 hour parking restriction in St Peter's Road. This will encourage people to park there and ignore the restriction as understandably your ability to police this is limited. I think its better the whole area is permit holders only but that's just my opinion.

138. St Peter's Road, comment	<p>My name is [REDACTED] of St Peters Road.</p> <p>I have just moved into the area, and therefore had not been aware of the plans and informal consultations that had taken place regarding parking permits within this area.</p> <p>My comments on the scheme 2 proposal are the following</p> <p>1) I worry that the parking bays along one side of St Peters Road (from Lennox Road to Church Road) will make it difficult for residents to drive in/out of their drive ways on that side of the road. In my short time here, I have already witnessed a few accidents where residents have collided with other vehicles as other road users have parked too closely to their drive ways. The current plans I feel do not take resident drive ways into consideration (estimating space for 47 cars). Therefore I feel this aspect needs to be looked at again from a safety and litigation view point.</p> <p>2) Will parking bays be marked over the speed bumps on St Peters Road, as this could be hazardous due to visibility of road markings. Currently a lot of cars speed along this part (even though it is a 20mph zone) and they do not see the markings for the speed bump (Currently cars park over the markings).</p> <p>3) If a permit is to be introduced, exactly how large would this Zone be, for example could a resident living on Hamilton Road be allowed to park on St Peters road with their residential permit? The current plans do not exactly highlight who would be entitled to the permit. I could not determine the area from the additional information page.</p> <p>I would be great full if you could kindly take the above into consideration and look forward to hearing from you.</p>
139. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I am writing to specify my objections to the parking permit scheme in East Reading, specifically the St Peter's Road area.</p> <p>Objections are that It is not practical to have permit parking spaces on St Peters Road as numerous residents have driveway Parking. Permit Parking spaces would give people the legal right to block our driveways which would aggravate residents, be inconvenient and would push more residents cars onto the road rather than using the space they already have to park in their driveways.</p> <p>Current plans state that Parking bays will be placed along this section of road where 7 individual properties have driveways for Parking (Six homes each with one car each are pictured here which have driveways in constant use). One of which, on the far left of this photo, got their driveway converted for Parking last month! I am sure they will be annoyed when they realise Parking bays are planned for the road alongside their newly laid driveway!</p> <p>Also having permit parking outside my property which is a house converted into flats, would worry me in emergency situations as flats are statistically more likely to be vulnerable to fires. Could you clarify how the fire service would reach my property in the event of a fire if cars are blocking the driveway? Also, if my son should be ill at night and I need to take him to hospital how would I get my car out to take him there if I am blocked in? How would an ambulance reach us if they can't park outside because a car is blocking the entrance?</p> <p>My objection is based on the fact that introducing permit parking in this area will create more problems than it will solve. In my opinion and having also lived in Clarendon Road and Wykeham Road since 2011, residents currently are able</p>

	<p>to find parking outside or very close to their homes and there is no problem so great as to warrant the need for parking restrictions. Also with the location of the parking bays, residents who currently have driveways with enough space for their own and visitors cars will not be able to use their driveways at all times and be forced to buy permits and park in the road which is unnecessary, inconvenient and could pose a risk at times of emergency situations.</p> <p>In addition to objecting to the introduction of permit Parking, let it be noted that I also object to the plans of where permit Parking bays and double yellow lines are placed. Should the council wish to proceed with their plans of introducing permit Parking in my area, I sincerely hope they will rethink the placement of double yellow lines and Parking bays to allow residents to use the driveway parking they have available and maximise off road, always accessible, parking for all those who have it available. To allow residents to live free from anxiety of being blocked in and Parking issues causing tension in a currently happy and calm neighbourhood.</p> <p>I really don't understand how the council think that residents will be able to fit their cars in the roads in our area with how they have organised Parking bays on the plans.</p> <p>The community work around each other to park considerately and allow each other to park near or outside their own properties.</p> <p>I really don't think the council have planned enough spaces for the number of cars owned by residents in this area. Even before considering cars parked in driveways being forced into street parking.</p> <p>The permit parking scheme makes absolutely no sense for this area.</p>
140. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I'm completely unhappy with the intention to make this area permit holders only 7 days a week and 8am - 8pm.</p> <p>This is way to aggressive and forces residents to fork out even more money on permits when the cost of living is forever on the rise.</p> <p>I'm against any sort of permit scheme on the weekends.</p> <p>As for weekdays, if this is to prevent people from parking in the morning and heading to town for work, to return in the evening, then I urge you to look at some schemes in London. For example Wanstead and Newbury Park have zones which are permit holders only 1000-1300, or 1200-1400 weekdays only. That 2 to 4 hour break in the day is enough to prevent commuters, and yet keeps residents and genuine visitors happy.</p> <p>8am - 8pm is complete uneccesary, and strikes me as an attempt by the council increase income of the back of this proposed scheme.</p> <p>Please consider this. I am a new resident to St. Peter's Road.</p>
141. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I object to a residents' parking scheme in the area near where we live (St Peter's Road). The Green Party recently suggested that the proposed parking scheme could be divided into two areas: the area near Hamilton Road, and the area between St Peter's Road and Wykeham Road; the proposal is to permit area 1 and then at a later date, if necessary, to do</p>

	<p>area 2. I would favour this proposal as parking near Hamilton Road is very difficult, but in area 2 it is currently not hard for residents to find a space to park. As yet we do not know whether this area will be impacted by the permitting of area 1, and to say that it will be affected is an assumption that currently has no evidence base.</p> <p>The reasons I do not wish to have a scheme in Area 2 currently are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Residents can currently park. A residents' scheme is only necessary where residents are struggling to find spaces to park near their houses. Otherwise we are paying money to park our own cars where we had before. 2. The proposal draws double yellow lines down one side of the majority of the roads in this area because they are narrow roads. This will effectively cut the numbers of spaces in Area 2 in half. Putting in a scheme will result in parking issues where currently there are no issues (or are minimal) because the number of cars will be the same in a smaller number of spaces. I am a full time mum, so I'm at home a lot, and (other than school traffic) observe that the cars parked in this area are not people visiting the shops or working locally. They are already residents. 3. Having lived in a residents' parking area, it is very restrictive to having visitors in your home, such as friends and family, but also tradespeople if they are doing work on your home. A two-week house renovation project would involve giving the tradespeople a whole book's worth of permits, at a cost of £22 to you and using up a good proportion of your yearly allowance of permits. Think of the elderly or disabled people. They need people to visit them in their homes - maybe carers or maybe friends - and needing to use a permit every time would be a hassle and risk leave them socially isolated. They often have weekly gardeners or cleaners who would use up precious permits. One visitor a week uses up half your yearly allowance of permits. 4. The results of the survey Reading BC has conducted shows a low proportion of residents in this area believe there to be a parking issue, and even when they think there is one they do not think a parking scheme will help. The people who live in Area 2 do not wish such a scheme to be introduced, and so RBC should be listening to their own survey results.
142. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>As a resident of St Peter's Road I object to the introduction of a residents' parking permit scheme in the area where I live. However, if this scheme is to go ahead no matter what then I support a two-phase approach to its implementation. The first area (Area 1) to be introduced is that west of Wokingham Road and then, should that result in all the non-residents' traffic being pushed over to the area east of Wokingham Road, a second phase for the eastern area (Area 2) should be considered. This needs to be tested first though, rather than jumping to that conclusion and rolling out an area-wide scheme straight away.</p> <p>The reasons I do not wish to have a scheme in Area 2 currently are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Residents can currently park. A residents' scheme is only necessary where residents are struggling to find spaces to park near their houses. Otherwise we are paying money to park our own cars where we had before. 2. The proposal draws double yellow lines down one side of the majority of the roads in this area because they are narrow roads. This will effectively cut the numbers of spaces in Area 2 in half. Putting in a scheme will result in parking issues where currently there are no issues (or are minimal) because the number of cars will be the same in a smaller number of spaces. 3. Having lived in a residents' parking area, it is very restrictive to having visitors in your home, such as friends and family, but also tradespeople if they are doing work on your home. A two-week house renovation project would involve giving the tradespeople a whole book's worth of permits, at a cost of £22 to you and using up a good proportion of your yearly allowance of permits. This also applies to elderly or disabled people. They need people to visit them in their homes - maybe carers or maybe friends - and needing to use a permit every time would be a hassle and risk leave them socially

	<p>isolated. They often have weekly gardeners or cleaners who would use up precious permits. One visitor a week uses up half your yearly allowance of permits.</p> <p>4. The results of the survey Reading BC has conducted (http://www.reading.gov.uk/media/8427/Item07/pdf/Item07.pdf) shows a low proportion of residents in this area believe there to be a parking issue, and even when they think there is one they do not think a parking scheme will help.</p> <p>5. I work for a business based on De Beauvoir Road, just outside the proposal area. We have business vehicles that need parking overnight and, due to the lack of parking on site these have to be the surrounding streets - which include Eastern Avenue/Hamilton Road/Crescent Road. With the introduction of the permit scheme we will lose parking space, something which may push the business out to an industrial estate with better provision. While this may sound like a good thing, the current location is within a residential area where most of our staff live, enabling them (and myself) to walk to work rather than contributing to Reading's already dire traffic problems by having to drive to an out-of-town estate. I know there are several other small businesses located in Area 2 between St Peter's and Wykeham Roads which use on-street parking for both business and staff vehicles. These will experience similar problems.</p> <p>However, I do not believe at all that it will solve the problems that it is trying to address in the way that people hope it might. As I have experienced myself when living in Newtown around the time when the permit scheme was extended to cover the whole area, people hear "residents' permit scheme" and immediately assume that they will be guaranteed a space near their house because all those pesky people who leave their car there will have been chased away. This is most definitely not the reality - we were still having to park several roads away and often had a 5+ minute walk between our front door and the car. Initially it seemed to make a huge positive impact but the numbers of cars parked just crept up and up until, by the time we moved, they were back up to pre-scheme levels. Additionally, several of the roads in the East Reading proposal area have parking situations which make it difficult/unsafe to drive along the road. This is particularly the case on Crescent Road where I have almost been hit several times during my walk to work by cars mounting the pavement to get around those coming the other way. Limiting the existing parking areas along here to residents will not make the road safer. I think this again is a false perception that people have. Crescent Road will still be a cut through which impatient drivers speed down and will still have issues with cars mounting the pavement - something that is completely unacceptable so close to so many schools/colleges.</p> <p>In my opinion, as a safety-conscious road-user and pedestrian, the better option would be to have clearly marked and rigorously enforced parking bays and DYL which give people no excuse for parking on the pavement or otherwise unsafely. If these were implemented in a way that kept traffic flowing as smoothly as possible, e.g. alternating sides of the road and leaving enough space for passing places, then there would be no need for a residents' permit scheme as, as I have experienced first-hand, they make little difference to the number of cars parked.</p>
143. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I OBJECT TO THE PARKING PROPOSAL</p> <p>I have expressed multiple times through these surveys that I think the Council has created any problem. I think the permits will make things worse. Me and many of my neighbours (St Peters Rd) do not want it.</p>
144. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I live on St Peter's Road (between Lennox road and Chursh road). I am opposed to the proposed parking changes. Currently I would say there are no issues with parking on our part of the road, whilst the introduction of changes is bringing in problems.</p>

	<p>Last year I purchased, as a first time buyer, my first home, I was very happy to see the lease holder had paved the front area of the house allowing me to park off the road (which is good for car insurance, safety of my vehicle, knowing I will have a space to park), however, there is no dropped curb outside my residence, so I feel these changes would open up parking in front of my home, restricting / removing my parking space. As someone who looked for a house to buy, with a parking space, I feel this is a very negative change (an will also increase my car insurance cost).</p> <p>Secondly, if only permit holders are allowed to park on my road I feel limited on my allowance of visitors - why buy a place and then find you have to pay for the privilege of having visitors park near by (my parents are pensioners in their 70's living 100 miles away, so would be staying more than two hours) and to only have a set allowance of permits, before having to pay restricts the number of visitors I can have, which living on my own could in fact alienate me. So again - one of the positives of buying the house could be removed by this new parking scheme.</p> <p>Additionally, there is a school very close by - if parents are only allowed to park on one side of the road this will reduce where parents can drop their children - thus increasing the duration of the school run - school runs are always contested - but if there are only half the spaces I cannot imagine this would help.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration</p>
145. St Peter's Road, objection	I am a resident of St Peters Rd and a pensioner i DO NOT WANT PARKING PERMITS. They will make it much harder for my family and friends to visit me, they have like myself no problems parking at the moment.
146. St Peter's Road, objection	I completely object to having parking restrictions implemented in scheme 2- St Peters Road.
147. St Peter's Road, objection	I would like to object to resident parking permit schemes totally. I live in St Peter's road and having parking permits in roads around will only make parking on St Peter's road more difficult. The last few weeks, lots of people come and park their vans, car on our road. Some days it's difficult to park but still ok
148. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I strongly object to any form of permit parking scheme being introduced on St Peters Road, or on surrounding roads such as Adelaide Road, Clarendon Road, Lennox Road, etc.</p> <p>Our household consists of 4 young professionals. We each need cars for work reasons. However we each work for separate companies in completely different locations; we each have different friendship groups, relatives and leisure interests; and we each otherwise lead completely separate lives...</p> <p>For these reasons, the number of our cars parked on St Peters Road or nearby during an average week can vary considerably - often it is one or fewer, but may sometimes need to be two or more. Therefore the proposed system of resident permits for just 2 specific vehicles would not work well for us, based on our circumstances as described above.</p>

	<p>Moreover, the proposed scheme seems completely unnecessary. There are virtually always more than enough nearby parking spaces available for us, as well as for other local residents, and for visitors. Local parking therefore isn't a real issue at present. I would be deeply unhappy about effectively becoming obliged to pay for worse parking facilities than we currently have at no cost.</p> <p>I appreciate that there may be other parts of East Reading where there may be greater congestion, and parking may thus be more of an issue. If so, surely it makes more sense to focus on these areas?</p> <p>My understanding is that one proposal is to implement 'Permit Parking Scheme No. 1' first - which does not directly affect our location - followed by 'Permit Parking Scheme No. 2' - which does. If it is not possible to halt the proposed parking permit scheme completely (my strongest preference), I would request that you defer Scheme 2 until as long after Scheme 1 has been introduced as possible, so we can see the impact that it has on the availability of local parking spaces.</p> <p>---</p> <p>I am also unhappy that we were not sent any official notification about these proposals, given that they heavily affect us. Indeed, I only heard about them 'on the grapevine'...</p>
149. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] and I live in St Peter's Road.</p> <p>I object to all residents parking schemes in Park Ward.</p> <p>If Scheme/Area 1 proposal goes ahead then I would like to defer Scheme/Area 2 proposal and see what happens after Scheme 1 has gone ahead.</p> <p>Regarding Scheme 2 for St Peter's Road I don't believe there are enough resident parking bays close to the houses below Lennox Road so that parking will extend all the way up to the Double Yellow Lines at the Church Road junction</p>
150. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>Our name is [REDACTED] of St Peters Road</p> <p>We object to the scheme 2 proposal and would like to defer it to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead.</p> <p>We would however support some limited use of double yellow lines on the West (Wokingham Road) side of St Peters Road to make it easier for cars to pass where there is limited vision around the bends in the road.</p>
151. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME.</p> <p>i think we dont need it. we are good.</p> <p>resident from RG61PG</p>
152. St Peter's Road, objection	<p>ST PETER RD RESIDENT ("Triangle" / "Scheme 2")</p> <p>I am one of the many residents that does not feel we need parking permits in our area. I believe many of us feel this way but even in a recently conversation with the council, you insist that the majority want it. This is not actually true. And</p>

	<p>locals are beginning to talk about future legal action. Especially as it is only through other political party leaflets that we have been made aware that the Council has finally accepted that one part of the area is in urgent need of the scheme and this area does not feel so to date. But this has NOT been informed by the actual Council!!!!!!</p> <p>I therefore appreciate that our views may have finally been heard (only because other parties have informed us) but I am still concerned that this is a money-generating scheme only (govt cuts) and would like to say</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I do not feel we need this 'virus' in our area - If the council is being democratic to the process, I am prepared to accept the 'wait and see' motion. [almost under duress].
153. St Peter's Road, support	I am a resident on St Peters Road and I am in favour of the proposed council permit scheme in - Scheme 2 (Triangle). I support the council plans to introduce the proposed parking restrictions.
154. St Peter's Road, support	<p>I am in favour of the council parking proposals and support the council proposal to implement a parking permit scheme in scheme 2.</p> <p>I am in scheme 2 (Triangle) St Peters Rd</p>
155. St Peter's Road, support/ comment	<p>We feel that there is a problem with parking in our road (St Peters Road) and whilst we are lucky enough to have a drive, the on-road parking can cause problems and in some cases dangerous situations.</p> <p>We support a parking permit scheme if it applies to all areas and is fair to all residents We do, however, recognise that introducing the scheme in phases may be beneficial. We are apprehensive that introducing the scheme in one area may push parking into our area so we would want the decision on whether to implement the scheme in our section to be made once the first phase has been in operation for a suitable period</p>
156. St Peter's Road/Lennox Road, objection	I do not support this scheme in any circumstances. This is being driven by those on Hamilton Road and it is not an issue for us. I do not believe that people would park on St Peters road or Lennox Road and walk to the hospital.
157. St Peters Road, objection	<p>I live in St Peters Rd and do not believe there is a need for parking restrictions in this area of Park Ward.</p> <p>I am AGAINST the schemes proposed by the Council. I feel the Council is trying to push the parking permits on us when, looking at the breakdown of figures from previous consultations, a majority do not want it here (in the 'triangle' with us and Wykeham and all streets inbetween). I am against the scheme for many reasons including the cost of the permits; the cost to buy visitors permits over and above the limited free ones; I am very much against the idea that people need a permit just to have family/friends visit; there will be significantly fewer spaces available to us than the cars we currently own (I understand the environmental arguments but it is not reasonable to effectively force people to give up their personal transport for your own means). I feel the Council are trying to clear up a problem of their own creation. I would like the council not to impose these plans upon us. (I am sure that if there comes a time where we do feel we need a scheme around here, the Council will not refuse the extra income).</p>
158. Wykeham Road, comment	As someone concerned by the parking permit proposals for Wykeham Rd and proximity, I am happy to learn that the Council has taken our suggestion into consideration. Therefore we support deferring the scheme in area 2 until scheme 1 has been implemented. Please Note: it was again quite difficult to find the correct page to post our contribution.
159. Wykeham Road, objection	Please can somebody tell me what I am going to do as a job if you bring the residents parking in to action. As I drive a van to carry out my work as I understand there will be no commercial vehicle permits or parking on the road I live in .and I

	cant understand how you can suddenly tell me im no longer allowed to be in the trade im in.
160. Wykeham Road, objection	I strenuously object to the introduction of parking permits on Wykeham Road. We do not have issues with non residents parking on our street, and our residents are perfectly capable of managing their own parking (including visitors) without the need for an imposed permit scheme. This is a blatant cash grab by the council to extort an additional £150 out of my family each year, which I doubt will be used to provide any additional benefits directly to the residents of the consultation area (e.g. fixing our very damaged roads).
161. Wykeham Road, objection	I live in the phase 2 area (Wykeham Road) and I do not support the scheme under any circumstances - I want to wait and see what happens after Area 1 is implemented
162. Wykeham Road, objection	Hi please can someone tell me what will happen to the people of Wykeham road that drive commercial vehicles such as myself i drive a transit van for my carrier as a carpenter.and could not use anything smaller due to the amount of tools and materials i need to carry.as i understand their will be no commercial vehicle permits provided.does this mean i have to give my job up and start claiming social benefits as im no longer allowed to park outside my own house.of 25 years for this reason i object to any plans to introduce residents parking permits for the Rg61pn area or any surrounding areas.
163. Wykeham Road, objection	I was very disappointed to see the notices go up over the weekend proposing an order to implement parking restrictions and permits in East Reading. I strongly oppose this proposal. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I believe parking permits are very antisocial. It restricts and stops people visiting each other. It would cost £1 for the privilege of having someone pop over to visit a friend or family member (cost of each visitors permit). What if three or four different friends/ family members pop over in a day? An elderly person who depends on such visits for their wellbeing will miss out. Why? Because they themselves would not want to pay that sort of money every week. A lot of elderly people are families are too isolated as it is. Some family members may need to stay overnight on a regular basis. Again that's more money. Someone may be sick, and a family member may need to stay overnight for a few weeks, more money. Visitors permits are ok as long as you have an odd visitor once a week. But those of us who are more social, this is not the case. We would need to cough up an extra £10+ a week for the fact that we are social. That's why I say Parking Permits are ANTI SOCIAL. It's like being penalised for being social. 2. Parking Permits are unfair. For those households where there is more than one car, it will cost them a lot more money. A lot of these people are not well off. They have two cars because of necessity not because of luxury. We don't live in an affluent area. Some people in this area are on benefits, some are struggling already with their outgoings. Parking permits are UNFAIR for struggling families. 3. I live in Wykeham Road, and my house is a [REDACTED] with Auckland Road. In all the years I've been here, which is around 25 years. I've never had problems with parking. The only problems that arise occasionally are when the pub near me is busy. Otherwise there are no issues with parking. It's not right to introduce these restrictions when we don't need them in the first place. The council creates problems for us. Recently we have had double yellow lines added to the junctions. This is fine, but why do they have to be so long! These are the sort of things that

	cause parking problems for us.
164. Wykeham Road, objection	I object vehemently to parking permits on Wykeham road!
165. Wykeham Road, objection	we object to permit parking in our road, some roads nearby are already congested at junctions which are used as short cuts by motorists. the problem is further exacerbated by lackl of enforcement by the council and police driving past ignoring breaches of the road traffic act. unless the council is willing to consider vehicle removal as one option to obstruction of the highway and more staff to deal with enforcement then this short-sighted plan will lead to tension within the community .when the local authority needs more money better to be up front and increase council tax than look for revenue by the back door.
166. Wykeham Road, objection	I live on Wykeham Road and I do not support the scheme under any circumstances. At the very least you should wait and see what happens after Area 1 is implemented. I'm still staggered you are still considering this scheme (in area 2) as it is not to the benefit to the residents and is blatantly an attempt to raise more funds for RBC.
167. Wykeham Road, objection	I live on Wykeham Road which is in the area effected by Scheme 2. I would like to Object to, and want to Defer Scheme 2 until we can properly analyse the outcome of Scheme 1 following a few months of Scheme 1 going live. Then there should be a further consultation for Scheme 2. My concerns around this scheme include, but are not limited to; these schemes being used as stealth taxes; currently parking is available and free in the area and residents have made it work so why change it (except for the Council using this as an excuse to make money); there has not been a clear consultation - very underhanded in terms of trying to roll this out with very little noticed (formal consultation period); the effect of reducing the number of parking spaces (!) despite the number of cars; no guarantees of parking in front of my property (or anywhere close) despite the need for one close due to have a 11 weeks old child; limited visitor passes; permit costs unlikely to be fixed; no clarity of a household with a child having any exemptions.
168. Wykeham Road, objection	I, [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Wykeham Road strongly DISAGREE to parking permit. I only see same cars parked in the evening belonging to my neighbours and during day its all empty as they are at work. There is no railway station, bus station, hospital or park near my house so makes no sense to me for having to have parking permit. It will NOT increase parking space here! Having 2 cars in my house hold it will be unwanted expense!!!
169. Wykeham Road, objection	Scheme 2 . We would like to object to resident parking permit scheme and defer to scheme 2. We've been parking here for over 45 years and never had to pay or had any problems.
170. Wykeham Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of Wykeham Road, Reading. I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME, and object to the scheme 2 proposal. My comments on the Scheme 2 proposal for Wykeham Road are due to the very reason that it is already extremely difficult to find somewhere to park the cars, if this area becomes controlled too, where are we

	expected to park our cars. In addition to this, the whole street has visitors coming over, where are they expected to park their cars.
171. Wykeham Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Wykeham Road and I object to the proposed residents parking scheme. Residents manage to park and do not need a parking scheme in place as I believe that not only will it cost more but is more trouble than it is worth. I do not want nor do I need a parking permit to park where I live.
172. Wykeham Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Wykeham Road and I object to the proposed residents parking scheme. Residents manage to park and do not need a parking scheme in place as I believe that not only will it cost more but is more trouble than it is worth. I do not want nor do I need a parking permit to park where I live.
173. Wykeham Road, objection	I object wholly to the proposed residents parking for Wykeham road, rg6. I have lived here for over 10 years and do not want nor need the permits, all residents manage to park, this is not wanted and is just another way to make money out of residents and will cause residents more trouble than it is worth! WE DO NOT WANT RESIDENTS PARKING PERMITS.
174. Wykeham Road, objection	I do not want nor need residents parking permits for wykeham road. It is not needed, it will cost residents money and hassle, we do not want parking permits. We are quite happy with the parking as it is, why should I have to have a permit to park where I live. No thank you.
175. Wykeham Road, objection	My name is [REDACTED] of Wykeham Road. I object to the Scheme 2 proposal and would like to defer the Scheme 2 proposal to see what happens after other streets have gone ahead. I think this is the appropriate action for the council to take given that the initial consultations earlier this year showed that residents in our area (the Scheme 2 roads) were not 'for' permits in our area and were in fact against it. Whilst the Scheme 1 residents may be 'for' permits in their area it is unjust and undemocratic to enforce their preferences on a sperate group who are against the proposals. (Its rather begs the question as to whether this is simply a council money making exercise!) Finally I wish to express my disappointment that this important consultation (so important the council has asked residents views three times in 12 months!) is only being conducted online and only notified via lampposts, Surely such an important decision needs to be notified to each resident regardless of whether they have internet access and can readily walk in their local area (for example the elderly or otherwise immobile!)?
176. Wykeham Road, objection	I object to this proposal. No to parking permits on Wykeham rd.
177. Wykeham Road, objection	my name is [REDACTED] of wykeham road and i don't want any residents parking scheme, and object to scheme 2, in any form. can you also tell me how my local pub in auckland road will deal with people driving to the pub and having to get a permit to have a drink they all wont fit in its small car park, or are you trying to close local business down? your form starts with (The Council has been asked to consider the introduction of an on-street Residents Permit Parking scheme in East Reading,) can you tell me who asked you and by how many, and is this information on record? thank you. i wait for your reply
178. Wykeham Road, objection	Hello there. I live in Wykeham Rd and express my objection to the proposed parking options. While I object outright. I suppose it is only fair that we caveat our opinion by accepting the option for now to wait and see what happens when the first Area is implemented. Thank you for taking our views into consideration.

179. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] and live at [REDACTED] Wykeham Road. I OBJECT to the parking Scheme 2 for the following reasons:</p> <p>1 - I have lived here for over 40 years and there has never been a problem with residents being able to park. When you buy a house in this area you know that it is parking on the street!! Scheme 2 proposes to cut down the amount of parking for residents in this area, why try to fix a problem when there is NOT one in the first place!!!</p> <p>2 - I pay my road taxes and my parking is FREE!! If I have to pay for parking permits and visitors permits then I will not be able to have my sons come to help me as they do now due to costs. The costs of permits will only go up every year so as far as I can see this is ONLY a money making exercise for the council as they are NOT getting enough from central government. THIS ANOTHER TAX ON RESIDENTS HWNE WE PAY COUNCIL TAX!!</p> <p>3 - I am an elderly resident who will be needing care in the future and the visitors permits do not allow for me to have carers coming in twice a day!! Even if carers get parking free, what about my sons coming to help me with shopping etc!!</p> <p>4 - Residents have not asked for this scheme and do not want it!!!</p>
180. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] and I live at [REDACTED] Wykeham Road. I OBJECT to parking permit Scheme 2 for the following reasons:-</p> <p>1 - Proposed designs of the scheme will give less parking for our area and make it more difficult for residents to park! Why are the council wanting to make it harder for residents as most need cars for work or visiting relatives in other parts of the country!! The Council should NOT be TELLING residents how they can or cannot travel around the area!!</p> <p>2 - I pay my road taxes so why do I have to pay to park my car on a public road!! Also why are the council being DICTATORS on the number of vehicles residents can have per household and the number of visitors by limiting the number of books you can BUY!!! My son would like to learn to drive but with this scheme he is saying not at the moment but is now restricted on how far he can travel to work as he has ADHD with Autism!! Does the council want to control everyone's lives!!!</p> <p>3 - This scheme is simply a way of making money and controlling the traffic in the area!!! There are other ways... congestion charges in Reading for instance. However I would not be prepared to pay BOTH PARKING PERMIT AND CONGESTION CHARGE!!! All of these schemes will reduce the residents wanting to live in the area or rather those who are willing to swell the coffers of a council!!!!</p> <p>4 - This scheme is NOT suitable for our area and was never wanted by residents!!!</p>
181. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>I object to the scheme and do not wish to see it on my road (Wykeham Road). The reasons for my objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) it is not needed. Such schemes work in areas where a high number of non residents choose to park making it difficult for residents to park. This is not the case in this area 2) Parking will be restricted with less parking spaces available thus making parking even more difficult in our area 3) I am being asked to pay for a permit with no guarantee of parking. This will also cause friction between neighbours and residents in the local area.

	<p>4) My parents collect my children from school everyday and park in my street (currently empty at this time of day). They will use up my visitors permits quickly thus incurring me more cost and hassle.</p> <p>5) This creates issues for when friends/ workmen come to do work. I can only see this as a hassle not solving an issue we currently have.</p>
182. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>I would like to take this opportunity to express my strong objection to the east reading parking permit scheme.</p> <p>I am a resident of Wykeham Road and this Road in particular has no parking issues.</p> <p>There is always plenty of parking available for residents and visitors if needed.</p> <p>By imposing such a scheme you would be going against what the residents are happy with and works and has worked for a long time.</p> <p>I accept that in some roads this may not be the case however Wykeham Road is not one where permits are needed both now and in the future.</p>
183. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>My name is [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Wykeham Road Earley Reading. I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME, and object the scheme 2 proposal. I do not want parking permits at all.</p> <p>[REDACTED] at the same address objects to parking permits too.</p>
184. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>I object to the proposed schemes in general as they would bring no real benefit to residents other than another bill to pay. The only real reason that can be drawn from the information been provided at the informal consultancy when asking questions was this is an effort for the council to raise more funds to squander. No tangible benefits were expressed for residents as this brings no guarantee that there will be enough space for residents to park in there areas, improvements to the streets and pricing could be put up at anytime with no justification other than maintaining the unwanted project or administration costs.</p> <p>As the above will be ignored and the poor residents of schema 1 will have this forced on them, which I feel for them.</p> <p>I OBJECT to SCHEMA 2 and it should be DEFERRED till the impact pf SCHEMA 1 is understood for at LEAST 2 years.</p> <p>My reasons are being a resident in this area for a few years I have NEVER had an issue parking. Some days I have to park further from my house than I would like too, but I have always been able to park safely. The parking schema will change that situation as there will be addition park restrictions bought in reducing available parking and will not mean I will get a better chance parking closer to my home but will increase the likelihood of having to park even further away, AND have to pay for the privilege.</p> <p>The whole schema running has been very cloak and dagger with minimal information being provided by the council. (This feels like I am living the book 'Hitchhikers Guide To The Galaxy' if you do not get the reference, you should find the book and read it, this may give people in the council some insight on how people feel at times on this schema). There has not been any real consultation other than letter being tapped to poles and a not very well communicated drop in that was in a location where it was difficult to get to if you were working, PLUS very limited parking and you had to walk. If the</p>

	<p>council really had any real interest in the residents they were meant to be supporting I would expect at least an official letter through the door or someone knocking at the door in a evening when people are home (we all have to work to pay the bill and your giving us another one to add to limited budgets).</p> <p>I expect some form of acknowledgment from this OBJECTION but have my doubts since all other on this forum have not generated one.</p>
185. Wykeham Road, objection	<p>I object to (scheme 2) the proposed resident parking scheme for Wykeham Road and would like to defer it to see what happens after the other streets have gone ahead.</p> <p>I object as the plans are confusing and will make visitors parking hard and costly.</p>
186. Wykeham Road, objection	I'm fully opposed to parking permits in my area. I think it's a ridiculous idea that will make parking much worse and can only conclude that Reading Council are looking for new ways to generate income.
187. Wykeham Road, objection	I object to resident parking permit schemes being implemented in this area. The permit parking will not help with parking in any way as everyone who parks on the road will still have to park on the road. It seems that this is just a way to increase funds for the council whilst providing no benefit to the residents. There is a lot of resistance on the road to the permit parking and I think it will cause a lot of issues. Additionally the council knows how unpopular the permit parking is, this is why they only communicated the news about it on posts tied to lamp posts. This is particularly unfair on people who are less mobile as they are very unlikely to have seen the communication and have been denied their right to information and a say on the matter.
188. Wykeham Road, objection	I prefer to have NO RESIDENTS PARKING SCHEME and object to the Scheme 2 proposal. As a resident of Wykeham Road, there is no need to introduce a parking scheme; free parking works perfectly well amongst residents. There is no evidence of commuter parking causing problems. The proposal therefore would appear to be a Council money-driven exercise that is not in the interests of residents nor would it improve parking in the area. Conversely it would make it much worse. I am sympathetic to the Council trying to make up the lack of funding from central government but this is not the answer. I am also concerned by the method of consultation for this proposal. For such an important issue (important at least to the residents it would affect) there should be paper forms/letters to each household not just notices on lampposts and an online survey. There are many elderly residents in Wykeham Road who do not have access to computers and the internet..
189. Wykeham Road, support	<p>I want to start by saying that I am whole-heartedly in support of imposing a permit scheme on Wykeham Road, Reading, as the parking situation currently is horrendous. Wykeham Road has become a dumping ground for non-residents to leave their commercial vans overnight (photo evidence attached from a photo taken on 16/12/18 of a commercial van parked taking up at least 4 spaces). So I urge you to please proceed with implementing a permit parking scheme on this street as soon as possible, as this will be life changing for those of us who have babies and small children and are currently having to carry our children home from our cars parked on adjacent streets as there is no parking on Wykeham Road.</p> <p>I would like to bring your attention to someone who is distributing unsolicited material to residents of Wykeham Road in relation to the consultation on parking permit scheme, with a view to influencing residents with false information. Please find the leaflet attached to this email.</p> <p>Your website states that comments, support or objections to the proposals should be submitted, however as you will see</p>

	<p>from the attached leaflet this person is misleading residents into thinking that they should only respond to the consultation if they object to it.</p> <p>They also make false claims that the scheme will reduce parking spaces, that the scheme aims to stealth taxes, that it is Council money driven etc. They also make the point that residents do not have to provide their details, ie addresses, which suggests that they could be fraudulently submitting multiple responses objecting to the scheme, when in fact the true residents are in favour of it.</p> <p>One can only assume that the person responsible for this communication is a landlord who rents his property out to multiple tenants and wishes to ensure that there is no restriction on how many cars can be parked there. No one who is an actual resident on the street and goes through the daily nightmare of finding a parking space on the street which they live would ever object to the scheme.</p> <p>I would like to thank you for your efforts in implementing this permit scheme, as it will be life changing for so many of us and urge you to please proceed with its implementation and treat objecting responses with caution, due to the reasons outlined above.</p>
190. Wykeham Road, support	It is hard to get parking spaces, nowadays. I support the council proposals.
191. Wykeham Road, support	I Support the proposal. I am a house owner ([REDACTED] Wykeham Rd) and find the parking situation very difficult at present. Vans and commercial vehicles regularly park on the road-taking up parking for residents. It is impossible for families with young children to find parking after 7pm. The parking permit solution proposed is desperately needed.
192. Wykeham Road, support	<p>I fully support the proposal for parking restrictions to be implemented as per the drawings issued as part of the consultation.</p> <p>Parking restrictions will ensure residents have a better parking solution than the present situation. Restrictions have successfully been implemented in Cemetery Junction - it would be fair for residents on Wykeham road to have the same opportunity.</p>
193. Wykeham Road, support	<p>As a resident in the area I fully support the proposal and think that this need to be implemented as soon as possible. Importantly, ALL areas should have the scheme implemented at the same time otherwise we risk those who do not purchase permits for their zones, parking in other zones that would be waiting for the scheme to be implemented. This could make the parking situation much worse in some areas where parking is already a big problem.</p> <p>The main issue is students and student parking so we would likely see many student vehicles being parked in areas that are waiting for the parking permits to come into affect. It is obvious that many students infrequently use their vehicles and many of the student houses have 2-4 cars. Figuring out how to limit the number of students with cars from renting in areas where the houses are originally designed for small families is a problem that needs to be addressed. The council</p>

	<p>needs to work with the university and landlords to raise the awareness of more and more private student accommodation in traditionally local family housing areas. 2-3 bed houses are not designed for 4 students to live in.</p> <p>Also commercial vehicles should have larger fines than non commercial vehicles to help discourage commercial vehicles being left in residential parking zones.</p>
194. Wykeham Road, support	<p>Hi there, I'm in complete agreement with this going ahead, in particular Scheme 2. They absolutely need to happen at the same time - if not it will just hugely increase the parking issues in the Scheme 2 areas.</p> <p>Both need to happen ASAP and at the same time as the parking situation is awful.</p> <p>Also it's worth noting most oppositions to this will be the students who have over 2 cars per some households which creates all the issues (as parking is much better during the holidays), so I don't think their temporary opinions should have as much sway as permanent residents.</p> <p>Regards, Wykeham Road house owner.</p>
195. Wykeham Road, support	<p>I support the proposal to put in a permit scheme on Wykeham Road, Reading.</p> <p>I am a resident at Wykeham Road and I responded to the informal consultation earlier in the year about whether I would want a resident permit scheme, which I certainly do.</p> <p>I really struggle to find a parking space outside my house and the neighbours I have spoken to with young families also really struggle with the parking, often having to park right at the top of the road and then carry their young toddlers and babies all the way down the road. We get all sorts of commercial vans, random cars from people going to Palmer Park and residents from adjoining roads parking on Wykeham Road as it is a free for all and just seems to be getting worse.</p> <p>The concern I would like to raise is that on Wykeham Road, a large percentage of the houses are rented out to student tenants. So if the student tenants themselves responded to the consultation, they of course would have said they do not want a permit system, because it would be a cost to them and the number of permits would be limited per household. If the landlord owner responded to the consultation, they are not actually resident at their property so what do they care how difficult the parking is for residents, they will respond that they do not want a permit system because it is an additional cost to them and limits the number of parking spaces their tenants will have and will make it more difficult for them to find tenants for their properties (many of these houses have between 3-4, perhaps even 5 students resident in them, many of them each have a car).</p> <p>I believe responses from these residents may skew the results and I am concerned that this could ultimately lead to no permit system being implemented on Wykeham Road. This would be a very unjust result, as many of these tenants are not living there long-term, and will have moved on in 6 months, while homeowners like myself and long-term residents with families are left with the consequences of the result for years to come.</p>

	<p>Another issue that may skew the result is that the consultation does not ask for address details, so you may receive numerous responses from the same household, one from each student tenant as I mentioned are at least 3-4 per house, plus the landlord. Whereas, a normal family household will likely respond only once.</p> <p>I would suggest that for a normal household on Wykeham Road with 2 adults and children, which is about the maximum you can fit into the houses on this road, unless each room on both floors is converted into a bedroom like they are for the student houses, it is highly unlikely that they would not want a parking permit scheme or control of some kind.</p> <p>I therefore hope you will please consider the points I raise above when looking at results and asking for responses from this consultation, as I am very concerned that the nightmare we currently experience with parking will continue due to a skewed result. I suggest the consultation should ask responders for the following details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name: • Address: • Number of cars at the address: • Status of responder: Owner of property or renting? • Number of residents at the address: • Number of residents at the property under the age of 17 • Please specify ages of all under 17s resident at the property <p>This may then give you further insight into who actually is responding to these consultations and will ensure a fair and just consultation has taken place.</p> <p>I hope you find this letter helpful.</p>
196. Wykeham Road, support	We support permit parking on our road. We live on Wykeham rd. thank you
197. Wykeham Road, support	Support it We have lived on Wykeham road for over 10 years now. I never used to like the idea of permits because of having to pay but I have changed my mind now and think that they are needed as there are so many cars here now. I can never park near my house unless it is students holidaytime. In the evenings its got ridiculous compared to what it was when we moved in. I think your plans are a good idea and I want to register my support please. We need these permits now. If you cant have the permits you should ask the university to have the students cars there instead.
198. Wykeham Road, support	I am sick and tired of the houses on this street being rented out to students and leaving no parking for the rest of us, it's obviously students who take all the parking as they have gone home for Xmas this week and suddenly there's more spaces than normal. We need a system where parking permits per household are limited otherwise this will never change. My address is [REDACTED] wykeham rd.reading
199. Wykeham	I am a resident of Wykeham Road & fully support a permit scheme. Due to the number of student accommodation & HMO's

Road, support	it is a necessity. There are far too many cars & they are often parked on corners. I often have a 5 minute walk in the dark to my house if I get home after 8pm.
200. Wykeham Road, support	I live on Wykeham Rd and support the permit scheme. When the permits for the surrounding roads are introduced all the additional cars, vans & commercial vehicles, will all be parked on Wykeham Rd & other roads that don't have permits. I am stunned that the residents campaigning against permits can't see this! Can it be considered that just part of Wykeham Rd is for permit holders as I'm sure I'm not the only one who is in favour. Proportional representation, ie if 40% voted for permits then allow a permit area of the street for this for the relevant number of cars. If we are willing to buy one then I don't see why we can't have one!
201. Wykeham Road, support	I have just received a Labour party communication through my letterbox this morning trying to influence how we residents vote and encouraging us to defer the parking scheme on Wykeham Road! It absolutely boggles my mind that anyone would suggest such an idiotic idea as deferring it to wait and see - the parking situation is already untenable - to allow adjoining roads to implement parking while we remain a 'free for all' is insane - what do we need to wait and see?? Obviously anyone who doesn't have a permit will park on the road (Wykeham) that does not have a permit scheme?! Is that not obvious? ANYONE WHO SUGGESTS THAT WYKEHAM ROAD DOES NOT NEED PERMITS IS NOT AN ACTUAL RESIDENT. THEY ARE A LANDLORD WHO WANTS TO BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO PILE 5 TENANTS INTO THEIR PROPERTY AND HAVE THEM ALL PARK THERE. Please, please introduce the permit system on Wykeham Road as soon as possible. Anyone who is encouraging deferring it is trying to subvert the system for their own profit and does not care about actual residents with families who are really really struggling.
202. Wykeham Road, support	I live in Wykeham Road and, as the owner of one small car, I support the parking permit proposal. I think it would discourage people from having several cars at one address and would also prevent the owners of cars from other areas from parking on our street. If we cannot implement it immediately, I would choose the wait and see option so that we are not prevented from implementing in the future.
203. Wykeham Road, support/ comment	I am a resident in scheme 2 Wykeham Road - I am for scheme 2 IF scheme 1 goes ahead. If scheme 1 does not go ahead then I am happy for scheme 2 not to go ahead with things staying the same. I don't want the two schemes to be implemented at different times, I believe this would cause a HUGE increase in parking problems in Wykeham Road please either implement both schemes or neither of them. Why would somebody living in Grange Avenue and Pitcroft Road pay over a hundred pounds for a second permit when they can park it so close by for free in Wykeham Road? I believe there are multiple occupancy houses in those streets which will compound this with third+ cars being forced to park in Wykeham Road. The labour leaflet suggested a delayed scheme could take up to two years, which would be very difficult. I don't understand why the separation between scheme 1 and 2 wasn't down the Wokingham Road, it seems a more logical barrier to this kind of extra/displacement parking.

	<p>I think it is unfair that there is a limit of two cars per household and that the cost of the permits for the second car is higher than the first.</p> <p>Please do not apply any limitations to people parking at Palmer Park Sports Centre overnight, so people who depend on a third car have an option.</p>
--	--

APPENDIX 1b - EAST READING PERMIT PARKING SCHEME GENERAL COMMENTS

Support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order

Summary	Objections/support/comments received.
	<p>Summary of responses: Objections - 61, Support - 46, Comment - 12, Mixed Response - 1.</p>
1. Resident, support	<p>I agree a permit scheme is required in East Reading but does require careful consideration</p> <p>Firstly, there are so many HMO's that have been allowed in the area in the past, with up to 5 or 6 cars per house that there just isn't enough parking for all and a decent scheme would help control that</p> <p>Secondly its noticeable we get many town centre commuters parking in the side streets then suing busses into town - mainly due to the high cost of TC parking!</p> <p>Thirdly we now are getting the overspill of other PP areas now encroaching into the area making it all worse</p> <p>However, it also needs consideration to short term parking such as visitors etc so a 2hr limit during the day would help</p>
2. Resident, support	I fully support the proposal.
3. Resident, objection	Parking should be left as it is. Permits will not solve the parking issues but is just another way for residents to have to pay unnecessarily
4. Resident, objection	Do not support the permit parking in this area. Leave it alone!
5. Resident, objection	I do not support the current scheme. Either all or nothing, dividing the scheme in two will just make everyone park in the area 2 which will leave people living there having nowhere to park.
6. Resident, objection	<p>I do not support this in any way.</p> <p>I think it is disgusting that the council intends to enforce this against the will of the residents by displacing Area 1 vehicles into Area 2. Council should be enforcing the restrictions it already has in place. Illegal parking is an issue - not resident parking.</p> <p>The people of this Area have told you they do not want this so why attempt to force it through against our wishes??</p>
7. Resident, support	I support the introduction of parking permits in East Reading. It is very difficult to park near our house, especially during term-time when the students are present. People also use our road as a car park when they come to collect their children from Alfred Sutton School.

8. Resident, support	I generally support the proposal.
9. Resident, support	I support the scheme
10. Resident, support	I fully support this. It is much needed. We have struggled for a great number of years with HMOs in the area...lowering the quality of life for the every day residents of the area.
11. Resident, support	I fully support the scheme and would very much like to go ahead with this as soon as possible.
12. Resident, support	We as residents really need these permits as our road is getting so so busy due to everyone parking on our road who attend the schools or college or even work at the hospital. They park there car and leave.
13. Resident, support	I support the propoosal
14. Resident, support	yes, very happy with the proposals. Implementation needs to be done ASAP.
15. Resident, support	I'd like to say that I fully support the scheme and will look forward to it's implementation.
16. Resident, objection	I do not support the proposal - I have not experienced serious parking issues and don't really want to move to the inconvenience of permits.
17. Resident, support	I support the proposal and it's introduction as soon as possible.
18. Resident, support	we need this scheme asap
19. Resident, support	I support the proposed scheme and I am looking forward to its introduction as soon as possible
20. Resident, support	I support the proposed scheme and hope that it solves the parking problems for our area.
21. Resident, support	I support the proposals.
22. Resident, support	I am fully supportive of the proposal.

23. Resident, support	Please proceed as proposed as safety is a real issue at the moment.
24. Resident, comment	St. Bartholomews Road is mixed parking (resident and 2 hour) and it should all be resident parking.
25. Resident, objection	Would not like permit parking
26. Resident, support	support permit parking, looks like every house has more than 2 cars which is causing too much issues
27. Resident, support	Support
28. Resident, support	I think this will help local people to park their cars near their own property
29. Resident, support	I support the proposal and think that although it does not meet all our needs it is the best we will get . Thank you.
30. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme at all. I do not believe we need parking permits at all.
31. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances
32. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances
33. Resident, support	I think it will be a good idea
34. Resident, support	I support the scheme
35. Resident, objection	I do not support the parking scheme at all and I am in the process of writing a full email which states my objections with photographic evidence to support my case to. The main point raised in my email is that the scheme would cause problems which do not currently exist by placing parking bays in front of driveways consequently displacing more residence cars onto the roads!
36. Resident, objection	I do not support the proposal

37. Resident, support	I support the scheme.
38. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances.
39. Resident, support	I agree with the proposals.
40. Resident, comment	If this is all that is on offer I'd have to take it as a first step. I'm concerned that reducing the available parking spaces on some roads will result in overflow to the areas that do have allocated bays and that inconsiderate parking next to driveways - currently a real problem - will increase. I'd rather just see double yellow lines across all driveways.
41. Resident, comment	In principle I agree that an on-street parking scheme is necessary, however I have 2 complaints how the current rules impact my family: 1) I think - as a Reading resident - that there is no longer one FREE permit is a bit of a cheek, and yet another example of the council's 'licence to print money'. Rather than punishing Reading resident homeowners this way - why not create additional revenues streams via offences? Apart from parking fines (which are fair enough) - what about fines for idling cars? In our road - St. Bartholomews Road - we are opposite Palmer Park, which is a lovely place to park up, running your engine to keep your air-conditioning /heating going, depending on the time of year. Or speeding tickets? Our road is 20mph - but is not monitored. Weekends and evenings there are often people doing in excess of 40mph up the road (as the recent speed survey will hopefully confirm). 2) Limiting households to 2 permits is not particularly realistic. My wife and I share a car; we have 1 daughter with a car - but most of the year she takes it to University in [REDACTED]; we have another daughter who is expecting to pass her driving test early next year, who will probably want her own car. So what do we do? Move house?!?!
42. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances.
43. Resident, objection	Could you please provide a road-by-road breakdown of the votes for and against the parking scheme? I find it hard to believe that you managed to receive a majority vote to push this proposal through. Also can you provide details of the initial vote? When first notified it was possible to respond via mixed postal/online vote. Then there was another vote by online only. Why was there a need to vote on this issue multiple times? I feel this is discriminatory against people who lack internet access or knowledge to use this method of vote. I am strongly against this scheme which I believe is a poorly concealed attempt to increase council tax for a low income area. This will not improve the parking or congestion around Reading. Just accept the fact that small Victorian terrace houses now need to have multiple cars to earn enough money to afford housing in this region. I think this scheme will put more pressure on low income earners with no affordable housing in place. Will I be able to get compensation for the negative impact this will have on my property resale value? Has this been taken into consideration? I feel the only consideration of this scheme is to boost the council's income. If you can provide a valid alternative reason I would like to hear it.

44. Resident, support	I support the scheme. However, cars already park on the double yellow lines when drivers are dropping their children off at school. I am not convinced that they will stop doing this unless the situation is monitored by wardens.
45. Resident, objection	I'm concerned by the unbounded cost increases to residents of this scheme. Streets in my area have votes against this and previous permit schemes and it is being imposed on us by virtual of including other areas who wish such a scheme.
46. Resident, support	I support the introduction of a parking scheme The number of vehicles parked in our road by those who don't live here has grown over the years It is unjust that we residents often cannot park in our own road and our visitors cannot park near to us Care needs to be taken with visitor permits and with multiple cars in one residence
47. Resident, support	I support proposal as parking very difficult for residents
48. Resident, objection	Objection to the scheme under any circumstances
49. Resident, comment	I have 2 kids in Alfred Sutton school. I'm coming from Earley and I don't see any parking in the area. If possible
50. Resident, support	I very much welcome the introduction of the parking scheme proposed. Since the introduction of the scheme around Redlands and the Hospital parking has been pushed out of this area and into the area I live in. I do not have any off road parking and can often not even park anywhere along the whole length of my own road. The residents parking scheme has become an essential. I am happy that the proposal for my road is to permit a maximum of 2hrs of parking for non residents between 8am and 8pm and outside this time only residents can park. I feel this is a suitable compromise.
51. Resident, objection	I do not agree to any proposal for 'permit parking' in the area. I do not see any need whatsoever for the same as I do not know of any parking 'problems' that need intervention.
52. Resident, support	I support the proposal
53. Resident, support	I support the proposal.
54. Resident, support	I am a very keen supporter of the proposed resident's parking scheme in the East Reading area. Too often I return home from a long day at work and there's nowhere within streets of my home to park. I live near the successful no 17 bus route but my street should not be used as a park and ride, especially as it is one mile from the road at Showcase.
55. Resident, support/comment	I support the proposal. Although I think the hours requiring permits should be limited
56. Resident, support	I support the proposal

57. Resident, support	<p>Sadly, it seems permits are the only way forward as the university seems unwilling to assist in providing reasonable parking for its students.</p> <p>Street parking is much more difficult during term time due to non residents.</p>
58. University representative, support	<p>The University of Reading supports Reading Borough Council's proposal to implement a residents permit parking scheme in the East Reading study area. The proposals should improve the parking situation for our residential neighbours in the area to the north east of Whiteknights campus, enabling them to more easily find parking spaces near their own homes. The scheme can be seen as an extension to the existing permitted area to the north of Whiteknights campus which has already improved parking availability for the residents in that area. Many of the residents in these areas will also be our own students who will not need to register for residential permits.</p> <p>The University does all it can to reduce travel by car and encourage travel to the University by public transport, cycling and walking, as well as providing parking for those who do need to drive. It is unfortunately not within the power of any business such as ourselves to prevent people choosing to park legally on residential streets where there are no restrictions. We look forward to the improved parking situation for our neighbours should the permit scheme be implemented.</p> <p>Given that the implementation of the scheme at certain times of the year would create significant stress for our students, we would be grateful if you would consider commencing the scheme at some point during the Summer Vacation (17th June - 27th September) please.</p>
59. Resident, objection	I object to the parking permit scheme completely. It is not needed
60. Resident, objection	<p>Overall we do not support the scheme because of its charging structure.</p> <p>We do have a parking issue, which we hoped the council could work toward resolving adequately. We believe this is also being fuelled by students not being able to park at the university.</p> <p>What are the university doing to help resolve the issue? And why are residents having to pay to resolve the universities lack of parking planning.</p> <p>Our personal opinion is that the council have taken this as an opportunity to penalise families to make healthy profits instead. We already pay a council tax, why does the scheme need to focus so heavily on charging the residents it proposes to be helping. I would argue that working families these days often have 2 cars, as we do, why should we need to pay £150 a year to park on our road? According to the RAC, councils in the UK raked in 800 million in profits from these kind of schemes in 2017. I fear that even with comfortable profits on the horizon from this scheme, it won't be long before the council will increase the £150 paid by two car families further. I am very disappointed that the council do not act on our behalf at all.</p> <p>If and when profits are realised from this scheme at the end of the first year will the council ensure that resident charges will not be increased further?</p> <p>Why must I pay £22 for a book of extra tickets for visitors?</p>

	<p>Why must I pay £30 for one car and £120 for a second? Why not £30 each, (which I still feel is excessive though more reasonable)?</p> <p>We believe that costs incurred by the council should be paid for by residents, but I feel this scheme has been designed only with profit in mind, can you tell me if this is the case?</p> <p>My suggestion would be a commitment from the council to cap profits from this scheme, and pledge to not increase future costs.</p> <p>Would like a scheme that works but without marked bays on the road.</p>
61. Resident, support	I support the proposal
62. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances
63. Resident, objection	I don't want parking permit on my road.
64. Resident, objection	I don't want parking permit.
65. Resident, objection	I don't want parking permit.
66. Resident, objection	sorry i dont ilke this because where is my family going to park when they visiu
67. Resident, support	I approve of the proposed arrangements. There are always compromises, but it is clear that a sensible balance has been achieved within these proposals.
68. Resident, objection	Oppose this scheme
69. Resident, comment	I feel that what the plan is at the moment won't solve the parking problem in area 1 but that plan 2 will be the best of the bunch.
70. Resident, objection	object to the proposal. living here for 12 years and parking has never been an issue
71. Resident, objection	I fully reject the parking proposal. I have never experienced any parking problems outside for the last 4 years of living here, even after the permit scheme was introduced on the roads close to the shops. I think its completely unnecessary and can only be considered as a scheme for generating revenue rather than alleviating parking issues.

72. Resident, comment	I would like to see an area/ zonal scheme where residents are issued with a resident permit based on the electoral register and usable anywhere in East Reading. if not I am happy with NO resident parking scheme..
73. Resident, objection	think this scheme is a waste of time and money, although presumably Reading Council like it because they'll get the parking permits fees, which they will no doubt spend on even more bus lanes and cycle lanes, when the money would be better spent on schools, youth clubs, children and elderly peoples needs, swimming pools and so on.
74. Resident, objection	I object to resident parking permit schemes totally.
75. Resident, support	I support the proposal although I would have preferred there to be bays alternating along the road. But I would like the scheme to go ahead
76. Resident, support	I support the proposal. The plans seem the best way of helping the parking situation, giving a mix of shared use and permit only. We have lots of houses with more than 2 cars, lots of vans and people parking dangerously on corners. People also park to get the bus into town and from areas where permits have already come in. Please bring in the scheme as soon as possible.
77. Resident, objection	Objection to the policy as it just another way of pocketing the taxpayer money. Would NOT like to see this policy in effect within the east side of Reading.
78. Resident, objection	I am disappointed by the current plan. The issue has arisen here because parking throughout this area is difficult during university term time. But that makes it an 8 hour/5 day problem, unlike the Redlands/RBH area which has a 24 hour/7 day problem. There is no pressure on parking here at weekends, nor in the evenings. So - the first part of the new provisions makes sense - between 8 am and 8 pm, two hours limit, or permit holders. But that only need apply Monday to Friday. At weekends and evenings no restrictions are needed at all. I think the expression is "using a sledgehammer to crack a nut". It's all very depressing!
79. Resident, support	I really hope that the proposed scheme goes through as I believe it would greatly benefit local residents by relieving pressure on parking spaces. You can see that Parking permits work just by looking at Norris road. When all of the other roads in the area are full, Norris Rd always has spaces on it because of the parking restrictions.
80. Resident, support	This has gone on for long enough. Whilst the proposals are not perfect, the scheme will be better than the complete and utter chaos that we have today. Therefore, we support the proposed parking permit plan.
81. Resident, objection	I do not support the scheme under any circumstances.

82. Resident, support	I am in support of the proposal.
83. Resident, objection	I would NOT like parking permits. I do not struggle to get a space at the moment and permits would cause issues for the residents at my house with visitors on the weekends etc
84. Resident, objection	I disagree with the proposal. Although there needs to be some changes, particularly by the school, this proposal is too severe. The residents parking scheme already introduced in Addington Road and by the University is under used. If introduced this will just drive parking issues further out.
85. Resident, objection	<p>My wife and i would like to reject the parking proposal scheme. Though the roads are busy in that area there is always space near enough. I don't feel it is fair that we would have to pay for permit or if we had visitors to pay for them to park.</p> <p>the main traffic is in the morning for school runs and rush hour getting back but it is fine. We object to the idea of parking permit.</p>
86. Resident, objection	<p>This problem of parking is entirely due to the Council making a parking permit area around the Royal Berks Hospital that at present extends to the end of Erleigh Road junction with Addition Road. No provision had been made for occupants of houses in multiple occupation or those with bedsit occupation. This area & the proposed new parking permit areas have a high concentration of houses in multiple occupation both legal (see Councils own survey list of houses in multiple occupation) & some illegal. Consequently these occupants have no parking permits & are not entitled to be able to purchase a parking permit. Consequently these residents who have previously enjoyed street parking in their area can no longer do so and are forced to park in adjacent un-permit marked areas. N.B; the vast majority of houses in hospital area have no off-street parking, & the situation is very similar in the proposed area. With the introduction of parking permits in the proposed areas then, because these areas also have many houses in multiple occupations, then these occupants will have no where also to park. This will further exacerbate the problem in the existing area & proposed area & push the problem further away from the initial hospital area.</p> <p>If you must make this proposed area a parking permit area, then all residents in a parking permit area must be allowed to have a parking permit, & this must include any extant occupants of any house that has multiple occupancy. I think the Council must ensure that if they remove extant residents parking, parking which they have previously enjoyed by these residents unhindered, then this may lead to a legal & /or government intervention to reverse this proposal & of those in previous implemented parking areas now extant.</p> <p>I also think that the proposed cost of the parking permit is extortion, a single permit should be free, & any subsequent permit should be a maximum of £30 each .</p> <p>ALL OCCUPANTS IN THE PROPOSED PARKING PERMIT AREA MUST BE ALLOWED TO HAVE A PARKING PERMIT OTHERWISE ANY PARKING ISSUES WILL BE PUSHED FURTHER AWAY FROM THE TOWN CENTRE. OR IS IT THE COUNCILS INTENTION TO HAVE RESIDENTS OF READING PARK IN WOKINGHAM.</p>
87. Resident, comment	I support residents parking on the street, but feel that the plan is ill thought out. The times of the restriction only residents after 8 in the evening will stop us from having a regular [REDACTED] group at our home on a bi weekly basis. Also I think that having double yellow lines on one side of the road will allow people to speed up the road. I think that this scheme could be more effective if there was still parking on both sides of the road, but only for residents or short

	term parking day and night.
88. Resident, support	I support the proposal for East Reading.
89. Resident, objection	I object to the proposal. The areas with residents parking already are under used. There will be very limited on street parking for people visiting the hospital or university for longer than 2 hours.
90. Resident, objection	I object to parking permits in out area as I do not see how this will ease the parking problems we already have. This is just another money-making scheme.
91. Resident, objection	I object to the proposal on the grounds that it will drive more cars on to roads from existing hard standings
92. Local employee, objection	<p>I would like to strongly object to the proposed East Reading Parking permit scheme.</p> <p>I work in Erleigh Road and travel a considerable distance to get to work. There is no parking available at my place of work and I have no alternative means of transport.</p> <p>I think the scheme is disgusting and discriminates against the hard-working people trying to earn a living and generating business for the area. I feel that the information obtained in the initial notifications and consultations is not a true representation of the people using the area on a daily basis. Those people who are not residents of the area will not have been notified and will not have had knowledge to consult Reading Borough Councils website in case of any new proposed plans. No notifications were put on display for the public to see within the area.</p> <p>I have a few questions that I would like answers to please:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the current economic climate with people struggling to earn enough to live how do you expect people to pay the extortionate amounts (£10+ a day) Reading Borough Council are charging for pay and display in the area surrounding Erleigh Road and the proposed new area simply to park to work? 2. People working in Reading are generating business and a large income for the area so why are you penalising them? Especially when a large proportion are hardworking, very poorly paid NHS workers. 3. What are workers that work outside the permitted pay and display times or work shifts supposed to do? It will become impossible to park. You are therefore stopping people from earning a living. 4. Can you please tell me where the huge sums of money being generated from parking permits and pay, and display areas is going/being spent? It is clearly not being put back into the roads of the surrounding area which are in an abysmal state. There has certainly been no difference in the condition of the roads following the introduction of the scheme surrounding Erleigh Road.

	<p>5. Why are permits not available for people working in the area? 1 per business is ridiculous. How many businesses in the area comprise of 1 person!</p> <p>I appreciate something has to be done for the local residents but there also needs to be significant consideration given to people working in the area as opposed to implementing another money-making scheme.</p> <p>I will look forward to hearing from you regarding the above points. Thank you for your time.</p>
93. Resident, objection	<p>I object to this parking scheme. I wish the existing parking to remain extant.</p> <p>Reasons are:</p> <p>This problem of parking is entirely due to the Council making a parking permit area around the Royal Berks Hospital that at present extends to the end of Erleigh Road junction with Addition Road. No provision had been made for occupants of houses in multiple occupation or those with bedsit occupation. This area & the proposed new parking permit areas have a high concentration of houses in multiple occupation both legal (see Council's own survey list of houses in multiple occupation) & some illegal. Consequently these occupants have no parking permits & are not entitled to be able to purchase a parking permit. Consequently these residents who have previously enjoyed street parking in their area can no longer do so and are forced to park in adjacent un-permit marked areas. N.B; the vast majority of houses in hospital area have no off-street parking, & the situation is very similar in the proposed area. With the introduction of parking permits in the proposed areas then, because these areas also have many houses in multiple occupations, then these occupants will have nowhere else to park. This will further exacerbate the problem in the existing area & proposed area & push the problem further away from the initial hospital area.</p> <p>If you must make this proposed area a parking permit area, then all residents in a parking permit area must be allowed to have a parking permit, & this must include any extant occupants of any house that has multiple occupancy. I think the Council must ensure that if they remove extant residents parking, parking which they have previously enjoyed by these residents unhindered, then this may lead to a legal & /or government intervention to reverse this proposal & of those in previous implemented parking areas now extant.</p> <p>I also think that the proposed cost of the parking permit is extortion, a single permit should be free, & any subsequent permit should be a maximum of £30 each .</p>
94. Resident, objection	<p>I object to the proposed East Reading parking permit scheme because existing residents already pay to park on the roads in their Council Tax, this represents a further Tax. Also unless all residents in a permit area are allowed a parking permit, be they owner occupiers or renters of any type of accommodation, even bedsits, then any parking problems will just move further out of the area, causing problems for adjacent areas, because these residents are not entitled to a permit. Parking permits are too expensive, and would be a considerable burden on us elderly.</p>
95. Resident, support	<p>I wish to register my support for this parking scheme.</p>
96. Resident, objection	<p>I object to parking permit. I am a student and a single mother, paying for parking permit or buying vouchers will be too expensive for me.</p>
97. Resident, objection	<p>I want to retain my right to have family and friends visit and park with FREE-dom. Visitors should not be luxury or a privilege to pay for. Especially for a pensioner. No to the parking permits proposals thank you.</p>

98. Resident, objection	I dont want approval to park outside which I can now. We have no current issue. Later maybe. Then we choose.
99. Resident, objection	I object to the scheme .
100. Resident, objection	I object to the scheme .
101. Resident, objection	Objection to the proposal
102. Resident, objection	Objection to the proposal .
103. Resident, objection	Strong objection to the proposal .
104. Resident, objection	Object
105. Resident, objection	Object
106. Resident, objection	Object
107. Resident, objection	Object
108. Resident, objection	Object
109. Resident, objection	Object
110. Resident, objection	Object
111. Resident, objection	Object
112. Resident, objection	Object

113. Resident, comment	Ok bed time, I'm going with: I am ok with parking bays in the area but have serious concerns about people parking in front of our drive. Would like council assurances that if this happens the council will accept to remove the permit bay from in front of my drive. .
114. Resident, comment	In principle we are ok with parking bays in the area but have serious concerns about people parking in front of our drive. Would like council assurances that if this happens the council will accept to remove the permit bay from in front of my drive
115. Resident, comment	Am in principle supportive of parking bays in the area but have major reservations about the public parking in front of my drive when I am out. So would like council assurances that if this happens, the council will accept to remove the permit bay from in front of my drive.
116. Resident, comment	Am in principle in favour of parking bays in the area but have major concerns about people parking blocking my drive. So I would like council assurances that if this happens, the council will accept to remove the permit bay from in front of my drive.
117. Resident, comment	In principle we are ok with parking bays in the area but have serious concerns about people parking in front of our drive. Would like council assurances that if this happens the council will accept to remove the permit bay from in front of my drive.
118. Resident, objection	I do not want approval to park outside which I can now. We have no problem. Later maybe. Then change. Sometimes hard to park but I want see if problem when other streets come in
119. Resident, objection	Wait and see what happens over the road. I object thank you..
120. Resident, support	I agree a permit scheme is required in East Reading but does require careful consideration Firstly, there are so many HMO's that have been allowed in the area in the past, with up to 5 or 6 cars per house that there just isn't enough parking for all and a decent scheme would help control that Secondly its noticeable we get many town centre commuters parking in the side streets then suing busses into town - mainly due to the high cost of TC parking! Thirdly we now are getting the overspill of other PP areas now encroaching into the area making it all worse However, it also needs consideration to short term parking such as visitors etc so a 2hr limit during the day would help